Appendix: On linear subspaces contained in the secant varieties of a projective curve

Claire Voisin

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu CNRS, UMR 7586

1. Introduction.

If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a curve imbedded in projective space, one can consider the secant variety $\Sigma_d = \bigcup_{Z \in C^{(d)}} \langle Z \rangle$ swept out by the linear spans of *d*-uples of points of *C*. This Σ_d contains the \mathbb{P}^{d-1} 's parametrized by $Z \in C^{(d)}$ (here we are assuming that *d* is not large with respect to *N*). More precisely, Σ_d is birational to a projective bundle of rank d-1 over $C^{(d)}$. On the other hand, if *d* is large enough, $C^{(d)}$ also contains positive dimensional projective spaces, corresponding to linear systems on *C*. Deciding whether or not Σ_d contains linear subspaces other than those contained in some of the \mathbb{P}_Z^{d-1} 's is thus a non trivial problem.

Some time ago, C. Soulé obtained estimates for the maximal dimension of a linear subspace contained in Σ_d , and asked me whether an ad hoc geometric argument would lead to other results.

One answer in this direction is as follows:

We assume that C is smooth of genus g > 0 and that the embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is given by the sections of a line bundle $L \otimes \omega_C$, with $\deg(L) = m$. We then show:

Theorem. If $m \ge 2d+3$, and $\delta \ge d-1$, any \mathbb{P}^{δ} contained in Σ_d is one of the $\mathbb{P}^{d-1} = \langle Z \rangle$, $Z \in C^{(d)}$. In particular, Σ_d contains no projective space \mathbb{P}^{δ} , for $\delta \ge d$.

Thanks. I wish to thank Christophe Soulé for interesting discussions and for providing the motivation to write this Note.

2. Proof of the theorem.

We first recall a few basic facts about secant varieties of curves (see [1]). First of all, since $m \ge 2d + 1$, for any effective divisor Z of degree $k \le 2d$ on C, we have $H^1(L \otimes \omega_C(-Z)) = 0$, hence the linear span of Z is of dimension k - 1. Let now $E \to C^{(d)}$ be the vector bundle with fiber $H^0(L \otimes \omega_{C|Z})$ at $Z \in C^{(d)}$. Since the restriction map $H^0(L \otimes \omega_C) \to H^0(L \otimes \omega_{C|Z})$ is surjective for any $Z \in C^{(d)}$, there is a well defined morphism $\alpha : \mathbb{P}(E^*) \to \mathbb{P}^N$, whose image is exactly the secant variety Σ_d . Since sections of $L \otimes \omega_C$ separates any 2d points on C, it follows that α is one to one over $\Sigma_d - \Sigma_{d-1}$. An easy computation shows that for any $Z \in C^{(d)}$, and for any x in the linear span of Z, but not in the linear span of any $Z' \not\subseteq Z$, the differential of α is of maximal rank, so that $\Sigma_d \setminus \Sigma_{d-1}$ is smooth of dimension 2d - 1. The projectivized tangent space to Σ_d at $\alpha(x)$ is easy to describe, at least when Z is a reduced divisor $\sum_{1}^{d} z_i$: indeed this is a \mathbb{P}^{2d-1} which contains $\langle Z \rangle$ and also each projective line tangent to C at some point $z_i \in Z$, as one sees by deforming Z fixing z_j , $j \neq i$. It follows that it must be equal to the linear span of the divisor 2Z. By continuity, this description of the projectivized tangent space to Σ_d remains true at any point of $\Sigma_d - \Sigma_{d-1}$.

We now start the proof of the theorem. We suppose that $\delta \geq d-1$, and assume that some projective space \mathbb{P}^{δ} is contained in Σ_d . Assuming \mathbb{P}^{δ} is not contained in one of the \mathbb{P}^{d-1}_Z 's we shall derive a contradiction.

Note that by induction on d, we may assume that \mathbb{P}^{δ} is not contained in Σ_{d-1} . Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\delta}$ be the closure of $\alpha^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^{\delta} \setminus \mathbb{P}^{\delta} \cap \Sigma_{d-1})$ in $\mathbb{P}(E^*)$. Denote by $\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\delta} \to C^{(d)}$ the restriction to $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\delta}$ of the structural projection $\mathbb{P}(E^*) \to C^{(d)}$. Let $W := \pi(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\delta})$ and $w := \dim W$. Our assumption is that w > 0. We shall denote by P_v the fiber $\pi^{-1}(v)$. It is a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{\delta} \cap \langle Z_v \rangle$, which is generically of dimension $s = \delta - w$.

We start with the following observation:

Lemma 1. Under our assumption $\dim W > 0$ we have the inequality

(1)
$$w > \delta - w.$$

Proof. Indeed, we may assume that for v, v' two generic distinct points of W, the supports of the associated divisors Z_v , $Z_{v'}$ of C are disjoint. Otherwise, Z_v would contain a fixed point $x \in C$, for any $v \in W$. But projecting C from x, we then get a curve $C' \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$, such that Σ'_{d-1} contains a $\mathbb{P}^{\delta-1}$ which is not a \mathbb{P}^{d-2}_Z ; since we may assume the theorem proven for (m-1, d-1), this is impossible.

Now choose v, v' as above. The projective spaces $\langle Z_v \rangle$ and $\langle Z_{v'} \rangle$ do not meet, hence the projective spaces $P_v = \langle Z_v \rangle \cap \mathbb{P}^{\delta}$, $P_{v'} = \langle Z_{v'} \rangle \cap \mathbb{P}^{\delta}$ do not meet. Since they are of dimension s in a \mathbb{P}^{δ} , it follows that $2s < \delta$, or $w > \delta - w$.

Next we observe that, at each point $\alpha(x, Z)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{\delta} - (\mathbb{P}^{\delta} \cap \Sigma_{d-1})$, \mathbb{P}^{δ} is contained in the projectivized tangent space of Σ_d at $\alpha(x, Z)$, that is in $\langle 2Z \rangle$. Hence for any $v \in W$, the corresponding divisor $Z_v \in C^{(d)}$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}^{\delta} \subset \langle 2 Z_v \rangle.$$

We next study the infinitesimal variation of $\langle 2 Z_v \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Let $H := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$. Then we have the identification

(2)
$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, H) \simeq H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C),$$

which by definition of the linear span, induces an identification

(3)
$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, H \otimes I_{\langle 2Z_v \rangle}) \simeq H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)).$$

If $h \in T_{W,v}$, the infinitesimal deformation of $\langle 2 Z_v \rangle$ in the direction h is described by an homomorphism:

$$\varphi_h: H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, H \otimes I_{\langle 2Z_v \rangle}) \to H^0(\langle 2Z_v \rangle, H_{|\langle 2Z_v \rangle}).$$

We have now an isomorphism induced by (2) and (3):

(4)
$$H^0(\langle 2 Z_v \rangle, H_{|\langle 2 Z_v \rangle}) \simeq H^0(L \otimes \omega_{C|2Z_v}).$$

We have the following

Lemma 2. Under the isomorphisms (3) and (4), if we identify h to an element $u_h \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(Z_v)_{|Z_v}), \varphi_h$ identifies to the multiplication

$$u_h: H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)) \to H^0(Z_v, L \otimes \omega_C(-Z_v)|_{Z_v})$$

followed by the inclusion

$$H^0(Z_v, L \otimes \omega_C(-Z_v)|_{Z_v}) \hookrightarrow H^0(2 Z_v, L \otimes \omega_C|_{2Z_v}).$$

The proof is straightforward once we recall the construction of φ_h by differentiating under the parameters the equations vanishing on $\langle 2 Z_v \rangle$.

We know that the spaces $\langle 2 Z_v \rangle$, for $v \in W$, contain \mathbb{P}^{δ} . Infinitesimally, this translates into the fact that for any $h \in T_{W,v}$, the image of φ_h vanishes on \mathbb{P}^{δ} , that is, is contained in

$$\operatorname{Ker}(H^0(\langle 2 Z_v \rangle, H_{|\langle 2 Z_v \rangle}) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^{\delta}, H_{|\mathbb{P}^{\delta}}))$$

¿From the description of φ_h given in Lemma 2, we see that Im φ_h is contained in

$$K := \operatorname{Ker}(H^0(\langle 2 Z_v \rangle, H_{|\langle 2 Z_v \rangle}) \to H^0(\langle Z_v \rangle, H_{|\langle Z_v \rangle}))$$

Indeed, via the isomorphism (4), K identifies to

$$\operatorname{Ker}(H^0(L \otimes \omega_{C|2Z_v}) \to H^0(L \otimes \omega_{C|Z_v})) = \operatorname{Im} H^0(L \otimes \omega_C(-Z_v)_{|Z_v}) \to H^0(L \otimes \omega_{C|2Z_v}).$$

Finally, note that the restriction map $K \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^{\delta}, H_{|\mathbb{P}^{\delta}})$ has rank equal to the dimension of

$$\operatorname{Ker}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^{\delta}, H_{|\mathbb{P}^{\delta}}) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^{\delta} \cap \langle Z_v \rangle, H_{|\mathbb{P}^{\delta} \cap \langle Z_v \rangle})),$$

which is equal to $\delta - s$, since $\mathbb{P}^{\delta} \cap \langle Z_v \rangle = P_v$ is of dimension s.

Denote now by $V \subset H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(Z_v)|_{Z_v})$ the tangent space to W at v. Lemma 2 and the estimate above give us the following conclusion:

Lemma 3. Under our assumptions, the multiplication map

$$\mu: V \otimes H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)) \to H^0(L \otimes \omega_C(-Z_v)|_{Z_v})$$

has its image contained in a subspace of codimension at least w.

We now derive a contradiction. We observe first that since $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^{\delta}$ is a rational variety dominating W, W is contained in a linear system $|D| \subset C^{(d)}$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_C(D) = \mathcal{O}_C(Z_v)$ for all $v \in W$, and the fact that $W \subset |D|$ translates infinitesimally into the fact that $V = T_{W,v}$ is contained in the image of the restriction map:

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(Z_v)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(Z_v)|_{Z_v}).$$

Let now \widetilde{V} be the inverse image of V under this restriction map. Then $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{V} = w + 1$, and Lemma 3 shows that the multiplication map

$$\widetilde{\mu}: \widetilde{V} \otimes H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)) \to H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-Z_v))$$

has its image contained in a space of codimension at least w.

Now we have the equality:

$$\operatorname{rk} H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-Z_v)) = d + \operatorname{rk} H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)),$$

since $H^1(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)) = 0$. So we conclude that

(5)
$$\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{\mu} \leq h^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2 Z_v)) + d - w \,.$$

On the other hand, we can apply Hopf lemma to $\tilde{\mu}$, and the inequality in Hopf lemma must be strict here, since the line bundle $L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)$ is very ample, being of degree at least 2g + 1, and C is not rational. This gives us:

(6)
$$\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{\mu} > w + 1 + h^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C(-2Z_v)) - 1.$$

Combining (5) and (6), we get:

$$(7) d-w > w.$$

But this contradicts inequality (1), since $\delta \geq d-1$.

References.

[1] A. Bertram : Moduli of rank 2 vector bundles, theta divisors, and the geometry of curves in projective space, J. Diff. Geom. 35, 1992, 429-469.