

Diagonal Arithmetics. An introduction : Chow groups.

Alena Pirutka

CNRS, École Polytechnique

May 25, 2015, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro

Objects of interest

k a field, X/k of finite type, equidimensional, $d = \dim(X)$.

Objects of interest

k a field, X/k of finite type, equidimensional, $d = \dim(X)$.
(often consider X a projective variety, i.e. defined by homogeneous polynomials with coefficients in k).

Objects of interest

k a field, X/k of finite type, equidimensional, $d = \dim(X)$.
(often consider X a projective variety, i.e. defined by homogeneous polynomials with coefficients in k).

Look at all $V \subset X$ irreducibles of dimension $d - i$.

A *cycle* is a formal linear combination of such V 's: the *group of cycles* of codimension i is

$$Z^i(X) = Z_{d-i}(X) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z} V$$

Objects of interest

k a field, X/k of finite type, equidimensional, $d = \dim(X)$.
(often consider X a projective variety, i.e. defined by homogeneous polynomials with coefficients in k).

Look at all $V \subset X$ irreducibles of dimension $d - i$.

A *cycle* is a formal linear combination of such V 's: the *group of cycles* of codimension i is

$$Z^i(X) = Z_{d-i}(X) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z} V$$

Denote $[V] := 1 \cdot V \in Z^i(X)$ the cycle corresponding to V , we call such cycle *prime*.

Objects of interest

k a field, X/k of finite type, equidimensional, $d = \dim(X)$.
(often consider X a projective variety, i.e. defined by homogeneous polynomials with coefficients in k).

Some properties :

Look at all $V \subset X$ irreducibles of dimension $d - i$.

A *cycle* is a formal linear combination of such V 's: the *group of cycles* of codimension i is

$$Z^i(X) = Z_{d-i}(X) = \bigoplus \mathbb{Z} V$$

Denote $[V] := 1 \cdot V \in Z^i(X)$ the cycle corresponding to V , we call such cycle *prime*.

- ▶ (push-forward) for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper define $f_* : Z_i(X) \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ by
 $f_*([V]) = 0$ if $\dim f(V) < i$ and
 $f_*([V]) = a \cdot [f(V)]$ if $\dim f(V) = i$, where a is the degree of the field extension $k(V)/k(f(V))$.

- ▶ **(push-forward)** for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper define $f_* : Z_i(X) \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ by
 - $f_*([V]) = 0$ if $\dim f(V) < i$ and
 - $f_*([V]) = a \cdot [f(V)]$ if $\dim f(V) = i$, where a is the degree of the field extension $k(V)/k(f(V))$.
- ▶ **(pull-back)** If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat of relative dimension n , $f^* : Z_i(Y) \rightarrow Z_{i+n}(X)$, $f^*([W]) = [f^{-1}(W)]$, $W \subset Y$.

- ▶ (**push-forward**) for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper define $f_* : Z_i(X) \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ by $f_*([V]) = 0$ if $\dim f(V) < i$ and $f_*([V]) = a \cdot f(V)$ if $\dim f(V) = i$, where a is the degree of the field extension $k(V)/k(f(V))$.
- ▶ (**pull-back**) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat of relative dimension n , $f^* : Z_i(Y) \rightarrow Z_{i+n}(X)$, $f^*([W]) = [f^{-1}(W)]$, $W \subset Y$.
- ▶ (**intersections**) $V \subset X$ and $W \subset X$ intersect *properly* if all irreducible components of $V \times_X W$ have codimension $\text{codim}_X V + \text{codim}_X W$. One then defines $V \cdot W$ as the sum of these components (with some multiplicities!).

- ▶ (**push-forward**) for $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper define $f_* : Z_i(X) \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ by $f_*([V]) = 0$ if $\dim f(V) < i$ and $f_*([V]) = a \cdot f(V)$ if $\dim f(V) = i$, where a is the degree of the field extension $k(V)/k(f(V))$.
- ▶ (**pull-back**) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat of relative dimension n , $f^* : Z_i(Y) \rightarrow Z_{i+n}(X)$, $f^*([W]) = [f^{-1}(W)]$, $W \subset Y$.
- ▶ (**intersections**) $V \subset X$ and $W \subset X$ intersect *properly* if all irreducible components of $V \times_X W$ have codimension $\text{codim}_X V + \text{codim}_X W$. One then defines $V \cdot W$ as the sum of these components (with some multiplicities!).
- ▶ For X/\mathbb{C} smooth projective one has a cycle class map $c^i : Z^i(X) \rightarrow H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, giving $Z^i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Hdg}^i(X)$ where $\text{Hdg}^i(X) = H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cap H^{i,i}(X)$ (the Hodge classes). The Hodge conjecture predicts that this last map should be surjective.

Equivalence relations

$Z^*(X) := \bigoplus Z^i(X)$ is is too huge!

Equivalence relations

$Z^*(X) := \bigoplus Z^i(X)$ is too huge!

Question: what cycles should one consider as equivalent?

Equivalence relations

$Z^*(X) := \bigoplus Z^i(X)$ is too huge!

Question: what cycles should one consider as equivalent?

\sim an equivalence relation on algebraic cycles is *adequate* if

- ▶ \sim is compatible with addition of cycles;
- ▶ for any X/k smooth projective and $\alpha, \beta \in Z^*(X)$ one can find $\alpha' \sim \alpha$ and $\beta' \sim \beta$ such that α' and β' intersect properly (i.e. all components have *right* codimension)
- ▶ if $X, Y/k$ are smooth projective, pr_X (resp. pr_Y) $X \times Y \rightarrow X$ (resp. Y) is the first (resp. second) projection and $\alpha \in Z^*(X)$, $\beta = pr_X^{-1}(\alpha)$ and $\gamma \in Z^*(X \times Y)$ intersecting β properly, then $\alpha \sim 0 \Rightarrow pr_{Y*}(\beta \cdot \gamma) \sim 0$.

The finest adequate equivalence relation

- ▶ For $X = C$ a curve and $i = 1$ define :

$$\sum a_j P_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ iff}$$

The finest adequate equivalence relation

- ▶ For $X = C$ a curve and $i = 1$ define :

$$\sum a_j P_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ iff } \sum a_j P_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on C .

- ▶ In general : similar, \sim_{rat} is generated by

$$\sum a_j Y_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ if}$$

The finest adequate equivalence relation

- ▶ For $X = C$ a curve and $i = 1$ define :

$$\sum a_j P_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ iff } \sum a_j P_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on C .

- ▶ In general : similar, \sim_{rat} is generated by

$$\sum a_j Y_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ if } \sum a_j Y_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on $W \subset X$ of dimension $d - i + 1$

The finest adequate equivalence relation

- ▶ For $X = C$ a curve and $i = 1$ define :

$$\sum a_j P_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ iff } \sum a_j P_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on C .

- ▶ In general : similar, \sim_{rat} is generated by

$$\sum a_j Y_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ if } \sum a_j Y_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on $W \subset X$ of dimension $d - i + 1$ (better: the normalization of W).

The finest adequate equivalence relation

- ▶ For $X = C$ a curve and $i = 1$ define :

$$\sum a_j P_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ iff } \sum a_j P_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on C .

- ▶ In general : similar, \sim_{rat} is generated by

$$\sum a_j Y_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ if } \sum a_j Y_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on $W \subset X$ of dimension $d - i + 1$ (better: the normalization of W).

- ▶ **Chow groups** : $CH^i(X) = Z^i(X) / \sim_{rat}$

The finest adequate equivalence relation

- ▶ For $X = C$ a curve and $i = 1$ define :

$$\sum a_j P_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ iff } \sum a_j P_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on C .

- ▶ In general : similar, \sim_{rat} is generated by

$$\sum a_j Y_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ if } \sum a_j Y_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on $W \subset X$ of dimension $d - i + 1$ (better: the normalization of W).

- ▶ **Chow groups** : $CH^i(X) = Z^i(X) / \sim_{rat}$;
- ▶ for $Y \subset X$ an integral subvariety of codimension i write $[Y] \in CH^i(X)$ for the class of Y . More generally, for Y a subscheme of X (not necessarily reduced ni irreducible) one can define $[Y] \in CH^i(X)$.

The finest adequate equivalence relation

- ▶ For $X = C$ a curve and $i = 1$ define :

$$\sum a_j P_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ iff } \sum a_j P_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on C .

- ▶ In general : similar, \sim_{rat} is generated by

$$\sum a_j Y_j \sim_{rat} 0 \text{ if } \sum a_j Y_j = \text{div}(f)$$

for some function f on $W \subset X$ of dimension $d - i + 1$ (better: the normalization of W).

- ▶ **Chow groups** : $CH^i(X) = Z^i(X) / \sim_{rat}$;
- ▶ for $Y \subset X$ an integral subvariety of codimension i write $[Y] \in CH^i(X)$ for the class of Y . More generally, for Y a subscheme of X (not necessarily reduced ni irreducible) one can define $[Y] \in CH^i(X)$.

Functorial properties for Chow groups

- ▶ **(push-forward)** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper then f_* induces $f_* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(Y)$;

Functorial properties for Chow groups

- ▶ **(push-forward)** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper then f_* induces $f_* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(Y)$;
- ▶ **(pull-back)** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ flat of relative dimension n , then f^* induces $f^* : CH_i(Y) \rightarrow CH_{i+n}(X)$. If X, Y are smooth, by a more difficult construction one defines $f^* : CH^i(Y) \rightarrow CH^i(X)$;

Functorial properties for Chow groups

- ▶ **(push-forward)** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper then f_* induces $f_* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(Y)$;
- ▶ **(pull-back)** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ flat of relative dimension n , then f^* induces $f^* : CH_i(Y) \rightarrow CH_{i+n}(X)$. If X, Y are smooth, by a more difficult construction one defines $f^* : CH^i(Y) \rightarrow CH^i(X)$;
- ▶ if K/k is a finite field extension of degree m , $\pi : X_K \rightarrow X$, then the composition $\pi_* \circ \pi^* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(X_K) \rightarrow CH_i(X)$ is the multiplication by m .
- ▶ **(cycle class)** for X smooth projective, we have $CH^i(X) \rightarrow Hdg^i(X)$ ($k = \mathbb{C}$).

Functorial properties for Chow groups

- ▶ **(push-forward)** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ proper then f_* induces $f_* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(Y)$;
- ▶ **(pull-back)** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ flat of relative dimension n , then f^* induces $f^* : CH_i(Y) \rightarrow CH_{i+n}(X)$. If X, Y are smooth, by a more difficult construction one defines $f^* : CH^i(Y) \rightarrow CH^i(X)$;
- ▶ if K/k is a finite field extension of degree m , $\pi : X_K \rightarrow X$, then the composition $\pi_* \circ \pi^* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(X_K) \rightarrow CH_i(X)$ is the multiplication by m .
- ▶ **(cycle class)** for X smooth projective, we have $CH^i(X) \rightarrow Hdg^i(X)$ ($k = \mathbb{C}$).
- ▶ **(localisation sequence)** $\tau : Z \subset X$ closed, $j : U \subset X$ the complement. Then we have an exact sequence

$$CH_i(Z) \xrightarrow{\tau_*} CH_i(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} CH_i(U) \rightarrow 0.$$

Correspondences

Let $X, Y/k$ be smooth projective varieties. Then

- ▶ Any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ gives $f_* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(Y)$

Correspondences

Let $X, Y/k$ be smooth projective varieties. Then

- ▶ Any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ gives $f_* : CH_i(X) \rightarrow CH_i(Y)$
- ▶ More : any $\alpha \in CH_*(X \times Y)$ gives $\alpha_* : CH_*(X) \rightarrow CH_*(Y)$: if $\gamma \in CH_*(X)$, then $\alpha_*(\gamma) = pr_{Y*}(\alpha \cdot pr_X^*(\gamma))$, i.e. α_* is the composition

$$CH_*(X) \rightarrow CH_*(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\cdot \alpha} CH_*(X \times Y) \rightarrow CH_*(Y).$$

- ▶ On cohomology: any $\alpha \in CH^i(X \times Y)$ gives $\alpha_* : H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}) : \alpha_*(\gamma) = pr_{Y*}(c^i(\alpha) \cup pr_X^*(\gamma))$, $\gamma \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$.
- ▶ An important example : consider $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$ the diagonal. Then $[\Delta_X]_*$ is the identity map.

Other classical equivalence relations

Let $\alpha \in Z^i(X)$

- ▶ **(algebraic)** $\alpha \sim_{alg} 0$ if there exists a smooth projective curve C and two points $c_1, c_2 \in C(k)$ and $\beta \in Z^i(X \times C)$ such that $\alpha = \tau_{c_1}^* \beta - \tau_{c_2}^* \beta$, where τ_{c_i} is the inclusion of c_i in C .
- ▶ **(homological)** $\alpha \sim_{hom} 0$ if $c^i(\alpha) = 0$ (over \mathbb{C} , over k take another (Weil) cohomology)
- ▶ **(numerical)** $\alpha \sim_{num} 0$ if for any $\beta \in Z^{d-i}(X)$ one has $\alpha \cdot \beta$ (is well-defined!) is zero.

one has $\{\alpha \sim_{rat} 0\} \subset \{\alpha \sim_{alg} 0\} \subset \{\alpha \sim_{hom} 0\} \subset \{\alpha \sim_{num} 0\}$.

Plan of the lectures

General question : What one can do by studying zero-cycles ?

Plan of the lectures

General question : What one can do by studying zero-cycles ?

- ▶ (Bloch-Srinivas) triviality of CH_0 and equivalence relations;
- ▶ (Voisin, Colliot-Thélène – Pirutka, Beauville, Totaro, Hassett-Kresch-Tschinkel) universal triviality of CH_0 and stable rationality.