

Hydrodynamic Limits for the Boltzmann Equation

François Golse

Université Paris 7 & Laboratoire J.-L. Lions

golse@math.jussieu.fr

Academia Sinica, Taipei, December 2004

LECTURE 3

VELOCITY AVERAGING

PART 1:

VELOCITY AVERAGING

Fundamental formulas for the transport equation

- The solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + a(t, x) f = S(t, x), \quad f|_{t=0} = f^{in}(x), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^D$$

with initial data $f^{in} \equiv f^{in}(x)$, source term S , amplification/absorption rate a , and unknown $f \equiv f(t, x)$ is given by

$$f(t, x) = f^{in}(x - tv) \exp\left(-\int_0^t a(t-s, x-sv) ds\right) + \int_0^t S(t-s, x-sv) \exp\left(-\int_0^s a(t-\sigma, x-\sigma v) d\sigma\right) ds$$

- Method of characteristics: solve as a linear ODE in the variable t

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(t, z + tv) + a(t, z + tv) f(t, z + tv) = S(t, z + tv)$$

and set $z = x - tv$.

- Stationary case: for each $p > 0$, the solution to

$$pf + v \cdot \nabla_x f + a(x)f = S(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^D$$

where a is the amplification/absorption rate, S the source term, and with unknown $f \equiv f(x)$ is given by the formula

$$f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} S(x - tv) \exp\left(-pt - \int_0^t a(x - sv) ds\right) dt$$

- Proof: Apply the Laplace transform to the evolution problem

$$\partial_t \phi + v \cdot \nabla_x \phi + a\phi = 0, \quad \phi|_{t=0} = S$$

with

$$f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-pt} \phi(t, x) dt \Rightarrow \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-pt} \partial_t \phi(t, x) dt = pf(x) - S(x)$$

Velocity Averaging in L^2

• Setting: let m be a finite, positive Radon measure on \mathbf{R}^D such that

$$(GC_0) \quad m(H) = 0 \text{ for any hyperplane } H \ni 0$$

Theorem. (G.-Perthame-Sentis, CRAS 1985) *Let \mathcal{F} be a bounded subset of $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^D \times \mathbf{R}_v^D; dx \otimes dm(v))$ such that*

$$\{v \cdot \nabla_x f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\} \text{ is bounded in } L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^D \times \mathbf{R}_v^D; dx \otimes dm(v))$$

Then the set of velocity averages

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^D} f(x, v) dm(v) \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \right\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbf{R}_x^D; dx)$$

• Earlier regularity remarks reported by Agoshkov (Dokl. AN 1984); general and systematic regularity results in G.-Lions-Perthame-Sentis (JFA 1988)

Velocity Averaging for Evolution Problems

- Set $z = (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^D$, $w = (u, v) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^D$ and

$$\mu = \delta_{u=1} \otimes m$$

- If $f(t, x, v) = F(t, x, u, v)|_{u=1}$, then

$$w \cdot \nabla_z F \in L^2((\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^D) \times (\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^D); dt dx \otimes d\mu)$$

is equivalent to

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f \in L^2(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^D \times \mathbf{R}^D; dt dx dm(v))$$

• The homogeneous geometric condition (GC_0) on μ is equivalent to the following affine geometric condition on m :

$$(GC_a) \quad m(H) = 0 \text{ for any affine hyperplane } H \subset \mathbf{R}^D$$

Theorem. Assume that m satisfies (GC_a) . Let \mathcal{F} be a bounded subset of $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^D \times \mathbf{R}_v^D, dx dm(v))$ and assume that \mathcal{G} is a bounded subset of $L^2(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_x^D \times \mathbf{R}_v^D, dt dx dm(v))$.

For each $f^{in} \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $g \in \mathcal{G}$, let f be the solution of

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = g, \quad f|_{t=0} = f^{in}$$

Then, the set of velocity averages

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^D} f(t, x, v) dm(v) \mid f^{in} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{G} \right\}$$

is relatively compact in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_x^D; dt dx)$

Proof of Velocity Averaging in L^2

- Rellich's compactness lemma: let \mathcal{G} be a bounded subset of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^D)$. The set \mathcal{G} is relatively compact in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^D)$ iff

$$\int_{|\xi|>R} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow +\infty \text{ uniformly in } g \in \mathcal{G}$$

- **Notation** We denote by \widehat{g} the Fourier transform of g :

$$\widehat{g}(\xi) = \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} g(x) dx \text{ for each } g \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbf{R}^D)$$

- By Plancherel's theorem, the assumptions of the theorem are translated into

$$\{\widehat{f} \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\} \text{ and } \{(v \cdot \xi)\widehat{f} \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\} \text{ are bounded in } L^2(d\xi \otimes dm(v))$$

where $\widehat{f}(\xi, v)$ is the Fourier transform of f **in the x -variable**:

$$\widehat{f}(\xi, v) = \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x, v) dx$$

•Equivalently

$\{\phi = (1 + iv \cdot \xi)\hat{f} \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded in $L^2(d\xi \otimes dm(v))$

•Denote

$$\rho(x) = \int f(x, v)dm(v), \quad \text{so that} \quad \hat{\rho}(\xi) = \int \frac{\hat{\phi}(\xi, v)dm(v)}{1 + i\xi \cdot v}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$|\hat{\rho}(\xi)|^2 \leq \Lambda \left(|\xi|, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \int |\hat{g}(\xi, v)|^2 dm(v)$$

where

$$\Lambda(r, \omega) = \int \frac{dm(v)}{\sqrt{1 + r^2(v \cdot \omega)^2}}$$

- Since $m(\{v \cdot \omega = 0\}) = 0$ for each unit vector ω ,

$$\Lambda(r, \omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{pointwise in } \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}.$$

- Moreover, $\Lambda(r, \cdot)$ is continuous on the unit sphere, and $\Lambda(r, \omega) \downarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$; by Dini's theorem,

$$\Lambda(r, \omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}.$$

- Then

$$\int_{|\xi| > R} |\widehat{\rho}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \sup_{|\omega|=1} \Lambda(R, \omega) \iint |g(\xi, v)|^2 d\xi dm(v) \rightarrow 0$$

as $R \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly in g as f runs through \mathcal{F}

and conclude by Rellich's compactness lemma.

Weak compactness in L^1

- A sequence of functions f_n in $L^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ converges weakly to f iff

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} f_n(x)\phi(x)dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} f(x)\phi(x)dx, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$$

- A bounded subset of $L^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ may not be weakly relatively compact:

a) there may be **concentrations** ($\|f_n\|_{L^1} = 1$ and $f_n \rightharpoonup \delta_0$ in the sense of Radon measures)

b) there maybe **vanishing at infinity** ($\|f_n\|_{L^1} = 1$ and $f|_{|x|\leq R} \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 for each $R > 0$)

- Exercise: it may even happen that $\|f_n\|_{L^1} = 1$, that $f_n \rightharpoonup f \in L^1$ in the sense of Radon measures but NOT in the weak L^1 topology.

• Dunford-Pettis Theorem: a bounded subset $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is relatively compact for the weak topology of L^1 iff

- \mathcal{F} is **uniformly integrable**:

$$\int_A |f(z)| dz \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |A| \rightarrow 0 \text{ UNIFORMLY IN } f \in \mathcal{F}$$

- \mathcal{F} is **tight**:

$$\int_{|z| > R} |f(z)| dz \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow +\infty \text{ UNIFORMLY IN } f \in \mathcal{F}$$

- Equivalently, \mathcal{F} is uniformly integrable iff

$$\int_{|f(z)| > c} |f(z)| dz \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } c \rightarrow +\infty \text{ UNIFORMLY IN } f \in \mathcal{F}$$

- De La Vallée-Poussin Criterion: \mathcal{F} is uniformly integrable iff there exists a function $H : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ satisfying

$$\frac{H(r)}{r} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } r \rightarrow +\infty$$

and such that

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \int H(f(z)) dz < +\infty$$

- Example: as a function H , one can choose $H(r) = r(\ln r)_+$; in the context of the kinetic theory of gases, an entropy bound implies the uniform integrability of the number densities.

Velocity Averaging in L^1 -1

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1(\mathbf{R}^D \times \mathbf{R}^D; dx dv)$ be weakly relatively compact and such that $\{v \cdot \nabla_x f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded in L^1 and uniformly integrable. Then the set

$$\left\{ \int f(x, v) dv \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \right\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1(\mathbf{R}^D)$$

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^D \times \mathbf{R}^D; dt dx dv)$ be weakly relatively compact and such that $\{\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded in L^1 and uniformly integrable. Then the set

$$\left\{ \int f(t, x, v) dv \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \right\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^D)$$

- Both theorems were proved in G.-Lions-Perthame-Sentis (JFA 1988)

Proof: By Dunford-Pettis, \mathcal{F} is tight, and therefore one can assume WLOG that all the functions in \mathcal{F} are supported in $\{|x| + |v| < r\}$ modulo a small error in L^1 norm.

• Consider the **resolvent** of the transport operator: for $\lambda > 0$, we define

$R_\lambda = (\lambda I + v \cdot \nabla_x)^{-1}$ by the formula

$$R_\lambda S(x, v) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} S(x - tv, v) dt$$

(i.e. $R_\lambda S$ is the solution $f \equiv f(x, v)$ of $\lambda f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = S$).

• One checks that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda S\|_{L^p} &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \|S(x - tv, v)\|_{L^p_{x,v}} dt \\ &= \|S\|_{L^p} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} dt = \frac{\|S\|_{L^p}}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

- Let E be a Banach space, and $H \subset E$. To check that H is **relatively compact in E** , check that

for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $K_\epsilon \subset\subset E$ s.t. $H \subset K_\epsilon + B(0, \epsilon)$

- By assumption, $\mathcal{G} = \{g = f + v \cdot \nabla_x f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is uniformly integrable; for each $c > 0$, decompose

$$f = f_c^< + f_c^>, \quad f_c^< = R_1(g \mathbf{1}_{|g| \leq c}), \quad f_c^> = R_1(g \mathbf{1}_{|g| > c})$$

- First

$$\rho_c^>(x) = \int_{|v| \leq R} f_c^>(x, v) dv$$

satisfies

$$\|\rho_c^>\|_{L_x^1} \leq \|f_c^>\|_{L_{x,v}^1} \leq \|g \mathbf{1}_{|g| > c}\|_{L_{x,v}^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } c \rightarrow +\infty \text{ uniformly in } g \in \mathcal{G}$$

- Then, for each $c > 0$, $g \mathbf{1}_{|g| \leq c}$ is bounded in $L^2_{x,v}$ and hence, by the L^2 -Velocity Averaging theorem

$$\rho_c^<(x) = \int_{|v| \leq R} f_c^<(x, v) dv \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1(\mathbf{R}^D)$$

- Conclusion: therefore, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we have found a compact $K_\epsilon \subset L^1(\mathbf{R}^D)$ such that

$$\int f(x, v) dv = \rho_c^< + \rho_c^> \in K_\epsilon + B_{L^1_x}(0, \epsilon)$$

Velocity Averaging in L^1 -2

• In fact, one can even drop the assumption of uniform integrability on derivatives (G.-Saint-Raymond, CRAS2002)

Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1(\mathbf{R}^D \times \mathbf{R}^D; dx dv)$ be weakly relatively compact and such that $\{v \cdot \nabla_x f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded in L^1 . Then the set*

$$\left\{ \int f(x, v) dv \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \right\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1(\mathbf{R}^D)$$

Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^D \times \mathbf{R}^D; dt dx dv)$ be weakly relatively compact and such that $\{\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded in L^1 . Then the set*

$$\left\{ \int f(t, x, v) dv \mid f \in \mathcal{F} \right\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^D)$$

•Proof: for each $\lambda > 0$, set $R_\lambda = (\lambda I + v \cdot \nabla_x)^{-1}$. We recall that

$$\|R_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^1_{x,v})} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Write

$$f = R_\lambda(\lambda f + v \cdot \nabla_x f) = \lambda R_\lambda f + R_\lambda(v \cdot \nabla_x f)$$

so that

$$\int f dv = \lambda \int R_\lambda f dv + \int R_\lambda(v \cdot \nabla_x f) dv$$

Since $\{v \cdot \nabla_x f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded in $L^1_{x,v}$, the second term on the r.h.s. can be made arbitrarily small in $L^1_{x,v}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ large enough.

For such a λ , the first term on the r.h.s. is relatively compact in L^1_x by the previous L^1 Velocity Averaging theorem.