

# Algebraic dynamics of polynomial maps: the dynamical Manin-Mumford conjecture

Charles Favre

`charles.favre@polytechnique.edu`

18th of April, 2015

# Distribution of preperiodic points

## The set up.

- ▶  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a regular dominant map on an algebraic variety  $/\mathbb{C}$ ;
- ▶  $\text{Per}(f) = \{x \in X, f^n(x) = x \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$ ;
- ▶  $\text{PrePer}(f) = \{x \in X, f^n(x) = f^m(x) \text{ for some } n > m \geq 0\}$ .

## The problem.

- ▶ Describe the distribution of  $\text{Per}(f)$  (and/or  $\text{PrePer}(f)$ ) in  $X$ .
- ▶ In the euclidean topology: look at the limits of atomic measures equidistributed over  $\{f^n = \text{id}\}$ ;
  - Bedford-Smillie: automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ ;
  - Lyubich, Briend-Duval: endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^d$ ;
  - Many other cases: Dinh, Sibony, etc...

## The set up.

- ▶  $f : X \rightarrow X$  a regular dominant map on an algebraic variety  $/\mathbb{C}$ ;
- ▶  $\text{Per}(f) = \{x \in X, f^n(x) = x \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$ ;
- ▶  $\text{PrePer}(f) = \{x \in X, f^n(x) = f^m(x) \text{ for some } n > m \geq 0\}$ .

## The problem.

- ▶ Describe the distribution of  $\text{Per}(f)$  (and/or  $\text{PrePer}(f)$ ) in  $X$ .
- ▶ In the euclidean topology: look at the limits of atomic measures equidistributed over  $\{f^n = \text{id}\}$ ;  
    { Bedford-Smithie: automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ ;  
    Lyubich, Briend-Duval: endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^d$ ;  
    Many other cases: Dinh, Sibony, etc...

# The abelian case (the Manin-Mumford conjecture)

- ▶  $X$  abelian variety (compact complex torus that is projective);
- ▶  $f(x) = k \cdot x = \underbrace{x + \cdots + x}_{k \text{ times}}$  with  $k \geq 2$ ;
- ▶  $\text{PrePer}(f) = \text{Tor}(X)$ .

# The abelian case (the Manin-Mumford conjecture)

The DMM problem

The case of  
polynomial  
automorphisms

Ideas of proof

- ▶  $X$  abelian variety (compact complex torus that is projective);
- ▶  $f(x) = k \cdot x = \underbrace{x + \cdots + x}_{k \text{ times}}$  with  $k \geq 2$ ;
- ▶  $\text{PrePer}(f) = \text{Tor}(X)$ .

## Theorem (Raynaud)

*Pick  $V \subset X$  irreducible s.t.  $\text{Tor}(f) \cap V$  is Zariski dense. Then  $V$  is a translate by a torsion point of an abelian subvariety.*

# The abelian case (the Manin-Mumford conjecture)

- ▶  $X$  abelian variety (compact complex torus that is projective);
- ▶  $f(x) = k \cdot x = \underbrace{x + \cdots + x}_{k \text{ times}}$  with  $k \geq 2$ ;
- ▶  $\text{PrePer}(f) = \text{Tor}(X)$ .

## Theorem (Raynaud)

*Pick  $V \subset X$  irreducible s.t.  $\text{PrePer}(f) \cap V$  is Zariski dense.  
Then  $V$  is preperiodic.*

# Towards a DMM conjecture?

## Question (The DMM conjecture)

*Pick  $V \subset X$  irreducible s.t.  $\text{PrePer}(f) \cap V$  is Zariski dense.  
Does this imply  $V$  to be preperiodic?*

- ▶ **Wrong!!!** Counterexamples for endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^2$   
(Ghioca-Tucker-Zhang, Pazuki)
- ▶ **True in some cases:** a very general endomorphism  
of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^d$  (Fakhruddin)

## Question (The DMM conjecture)

*Pick  $V \subset X$  irreducible s.t.  $\text{PrePer}(f) \cap V$  is Zariski dense.  
Does this imply  $V$  to be preperiodic?*

- ▶ **Wrong!!!** Counterexamples for endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  (Ghioca-Tucker-Zhang, Pazuki)
- ▶ **True in some cases:** a very general endomorphism of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^d$  (Fakhruddin)

# Variations on the DMM problem

Dynamics of  
polynomial maps

Charles Favre

The DMM problem

The case of  
polynomial  
automorphisms

Ideas of proof

## Question

*Given  $f$ , describe all irreducible subvarieties  $V \subset X$  s.t.  $\text{PrePer}(f) \cap V$  is Zariski dense.*

## Question

*Describe the maps  $f$  for which the DMM conjecture has a positive/negative answer.*

# The DMM problem for polynomial automorphisms

$f : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  an automorphism.

- ▶ When  $f$  is affine or elementary  
 $(x, y) \mapsto (ax + b, cy + P(y))$  the DMM problem has a  
positive answer (exercice).

In the sequel suppose

$$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y)), \deg(P) \geq 2$$

is of Hénon type.

# The DMM problem for polynomial automorphisms

$f : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$  an automorphism.

- ▶ When  $f$  is affine or elementary  
 $(x, y) \mapsto (ax + b, cy + P(y))$  the DMM problem has a  
positive answer (exercice).

In the sequel suppose

$$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y)), \deg(P) \geq 2$$

is of Hénon type.

$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$ ,  $\deg(P) \geq 2$  and  $V$  an irreducible curve

- ▶ Assumption:  
 $V \cap \text{PrePer}(f)$  is Zariski dense
- ▶ Conclusion:

$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$ ,  $\deg(P) \geq 2$  and  $V$  an irreducible curve

- ▶ Assumption:  
 $V \cap \text{PrePer}(f)$  is infinite
- ▶ Conclusion:

$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$ ,  $\deg(P) \geq 2$  and  $V$  an irreducible curve

- ▶ Assumption:  
 $V \cap \text{Per}(f)$  is infinite
- ▶ Conclusion:

$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$ ,  $\deg(P) \geq 2$  and  $V$  an irreducible curve

- ▶ Assumption:  
 $V \cap \text{Per}(f)$  is infinite
- ▶ Conclusion:  
 $V$  is preperiodic

$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$ ,  $\deg(P) \geq 2$  and  $V$  an irreducible curve

- ▶ Assumption:  
 $V \cap \text{Per}(f)$  is infinite
- ▶ Conclusion:  
 $V$  is periodic

$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$ ,  $\deg(P) \geq 2$  and  $V$  an irreducible curve

- ▶ Assumption:  
 $V \cap \text{Per}(f)$  is infinite
- ▶ Conclusion:  
impossible (Bedford-Smillie)!!!

$f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$ ,  $\deg(P) \geq 2$  and  $V$  an irreducible curve

- ▶ Assumption:  
 $V \cap \text{Per}(f)$  is infinite
- ▶ Conclusion:  
impossible (Bedford-Smillie)!!!

## Question

*Is the set  $\text{Per}(f) \cap V$  finite for any irreducible curve  $V$ ?*

## Theorem (Dujardin-Favre)

*Suppose  $f(x, y) = (ay, x + P(y))$  with  $|\text{Jac}(f)| = |a| \neq 1$ .  
Then the set  $\text{Per}(f) \cap V$  is finite for any irreducible curve  $V$ .*

# Counter-examples: reversible maps

- ▶  $f(x, y) = (y, -x + y^2)$ ,  $f^{-1} = (-y + x^2, x)$ ;
- ▶  $f^{-1} = \sigma \circ f \circ \sigma$  with  $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$ ;
- ▶  $\Delta = \{(x, x)\}$ ,  $\Delta \cap f^n(\Delta) \subset \text{Fix}(f^{2n})$ ;

# Counter-examples: reversible maps

- ▶  $f(x, y) = (y, -x + y^2)$ ,  $f^{-1} = (-y + x^2, x)$ ;
- ▶  $f^{-1} = \sigma \circ f \circ \sigma$  with  $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$ ;
- ▶  $\Delta = \{(x, x)\}$ ,  $\Delta \cap f^n(\Delta) \subset \text{Fix}(f^{2n})$ ;

## Proposition

$$|\Delta \cap f^n(\Delta)| \rightarrow \infty.$$

## Proof.

Use Arnold's result:  $\text{mult}_{(x,x)}(f^n(\Delta), \Delta) = O(1)$ . □

# Counter-examples: reversible maps

- ▶  $f(x, y) = (y, -x + y^2)$ ,  $f^{-1} = (-y + x^2, x)$ ;
- ▶  $f^{-1} = \sigma \circ f \circ \sigma$  with  $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$ ;
- ▶  $\Delta = \{(x, x)\}$ ,  $\Delta \cap f^n(\Delta) \subset \text{Fix}(f^{2n})$ ;

## Proposition

$$|\Delta \cap f^n(\Delta)| \simeq 2^n.$$

## Proof.

The image  $f^n(\Delta)$  converges to a laminar current. □

## Conjecture

*Suppose  $\text{Per}(f) \cap V$  is infinite. Then  $f^{-n} = \sigma \circ f^n \circ \sigma$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and some involution  $\sigma$ .*

## Conjecture (Weak form)

*Suppose  $\text{Per}(f) \cap V$  is infinite. Then  $\text{Jac}(f)$  is a root of unity.*

## Conjecture (Effective bounds)

*Fix  $f$  for which the DMM conjecture has a positive answer. Give a bound on  $\text{Per}(f) \cap V$  in terms of  $\deg(V)$ .*

Reduce to the case  $f(x, y) = (ay, x + y^2 + c)$ ,  
 $V = \{Q = 0\}$  with  $a, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ .

**Assumption:**  $V \cap \text{Per}(f)$  is infinite.

**Conclusion:**  $|a| = 1$ ?

- ▶ Step 1: describe the distribution of periodic point on  $V$  to get  $\mu_V^+ = \mu_V^-$ .
- ▶ Step 2: exploit the equality of measures and use a renormalization argument to conclude.

Reduce to the case  $f(x, y) = (ay, x + y^2 + c)$ ,  
 $V = \{Q = 0\}$  with  $a, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ .

**Assumption:**  $V \cap \text{Per}(f)$  is infinite.

**Conclusion:**  $|a| = 1$ ?

- ▶ Step 1: describe the distribution of periodic point on  $V$  to get  $\mu_V^+ = \mu_V^-$ .
- ▶ Step 2: exploit the equality of measures and use a renormalization argument to conclude.

$$f(x, y) = (x_1, y_1) = (ay, x + y^2 + c),$$
$$\|(x, y)\| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$$

- ▶ if  $|y| \geq |x| \geq R \gg 1$ , then  
 $|y_1| = |y|^2 \geq |y| = |x_1| \geq R$ .
- ▶  $\frac{1}{2^n} \log \max\{1, \|f^n(x, y)\|\}$  converges when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$   
uniformly to a **Green** function  $G^+$

## Properties:

- ▶  $G^+ \geq 0$ ,  $G^+$  is continuous;
- ▶  $G^+ \circ f = 2G^+$
- ▶  $\{G^+ = 0\} = \{(x, y), \sup_{n \geq 0} \|f^n(x, y)\| < +\infty\}$

$$f(x, y) = (x_1, y_1) = (ay, x + y^2 + c),$$
$$\|(x, y)\| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$$

- ▶ if  $|y| \geq |x| \geq R \gg 1$ , then  
 $|y_1| = |y|^2 \geq |y| = |x_1| \geq R$ .
- ▶  $\frac{1}{2^n} \log \max\{1, \|f^n(x, y)\|\}$  converges when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$   
uniformly to a Green function  $G^+$

## Properties:

- ▶  $G^+ \geq 0$ ,  $G^+$  is continuous;
- ▶  $G^+ \circ f = 2G^+$
- ▶  $\{G^+ = 0\} = \{(x, y), \sup_{n \geq 0} \|f^n(x, y)\| < +\infty\}$

## Theorem

Suppose  $z_n \in V$  is a sequence of distinct periodic points.  
Then

$$\frac{1}{\deg(z_n)} \sum_{w \text{ Galois conj. to } z_n} \delta_w \longrightarrow \mu_V^+ := c_+ \Delta(G^+|_V).$$

## Corollary

$$G^+|_V = c G^-|_V$$

- ▶ Build  $G_p^+$  over any  $\mathbb{C}_p$  for any prime;
- ▶ Sum them up to get a height:

$$h(z) := \frac{1}{\deg(z)} \sum_{w \text{ Galois conj. to } z} \sum G_p^+(z).$$

- ▶  $h(z) = 0$  when  $z$  is periodic
- ▶ The height function  $h|_V$  is a **good** height: one can apply Autissier' result to conclude.

## Assumptions:

- ▶  $z \in V_{\text{reg}}$  hyperbolic fixed point;
- ▶  $W_{\text{loc}}^u(z)$  and  $W_{\text{loc}}^s(z)$  cut  $V$  transversally

$$df(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^- \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\lambda^+| > 1 > |\lambda^-|$$

**Main idea:** compute the Hölder exponent  $\kappa^\pm$  of  $G^\pm|_V$  near  $z$ .

- ▶ Transversality implies  $G^+|_V$  and  $G^+|_{W_{\text{loc}}^u}$  have the same exponent
- ▶ Linearization:  $f|_{W_{\text{loc}}^u(z)}(t) = \lambda^+ t$
- ▶  $G^+(t) \asymp |t|^{\kappa^+}$
- ▶  $G^+ \circ f(t) = 2G^+ \implies 2 = |\lambda^+|^{\kappa^+}$