

On the de Rham Complex of Mixed Twistor \mathcal{D} -Modules

Teresa Monteiro Fernandes¹ and Claude Sabbah²

¹Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais da Universidade de Lisboa, Complexo 2, 2 Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto, 1699 Lisboa, Portugal
 and ²Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz, UMR CNRS 7640, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau cedex, France

Correspondence to be sent to: e-mail: tmf@ptmat.fc.ul.pt

Given a complex manifold S , we introduce for each complex manifold X a t -structure on the bounded derived category of \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes of \mathcal{O}_S -modules on $X \times S$. We prove that the de Rham complex of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module which is \mathcal{O}_S -flat as well as its dual object is perverse relatively to this t -structure. This result applies to mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -modules.

1 Introduction

Given a vector bundle V of rank $d \geq 1$ with an integrable connection $\nabla : V \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes V$ on a complex manifold X of complex dimension n , the sheaf of horizontal sections $V^\nabla = \ker \nabla$ is a locally constant sheaf of d -dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces, and is the only nonzero cohomology sheaf of the de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}_X(V, \nabla) = (\Omega_X^\bullet \otimes V, \nabla)$. Assume moreover that (V, ∇) is equipped with a harmonic metric in the sense of [19, p. 16]. The twistor construction of [20] produces then a holomorphic bundle \mathcal{V} on the product space $\mathcal{X} = X \times \mathbb{C}$, where the factor \mathbb{C} has coordinate z , together with a holomorphic flat z -connection. By restricting to $\mathcal{X}^* := X \times \mathbb{C}^*$, giving such a z -connection on $\mathcal{V}^* := \mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{X}^*}$ is equivalent to giving a flat relative connection ∇ with respect to the projection $p : \mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Similarly,

Received April 13, 2012; Revised July 25, 2012; Accepted July 30, 2012
 Communicated by Prof. Masaki Kashiwara

the relative de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}_{\mathcal{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{V}^*, \nabla)$ has cohomology in degree zero at most, and $(\mathcal{V}^*)^\nabla := \ker \nabla$ is a locally constant sheaf of locally free $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ -modules of rank d .

Holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules generalize the notion of a holomorphic bundle with flat connection to objects having (possibly wild) singularities, and a well-known theorem of Kashiwara [2] shows that the solution complex of such a holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module has \mathbb{C} -constructible cohomology, from which one can deduce that the de Rham complex is of the same kind and more precisely that both are \mathbb{C} -perverse sheaves on X up to a shift by $\dim X$.

The notion of a holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module with a harmonic metric has been formalized in [11, 14] under the name of pure twistor \mathcal{D} -module (this generalizes holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules with regular singularities), and then in [12, 15] under the name of wild twistor \mathcal{D} -modules (this takes into account arbitrary irregular singularities). More recently, Mochizuki [13] has fully developed the notion of a mixed (possibly wild) twistor \mathcal{D} -module. When restricted to \mathcal{X}^* , such an object contains in its definition two holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -modules, and we say that both underlie a mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -module.

The main result of this article concerns the de Rham complex and the solution complex of such objects.

Theorem 1.1. The de Rham complex and the solution complex of a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module underlying a mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -module are perverse sheaves of $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$ -modules (up to a shift by $\dim X$). \square

In Section 2, we define the notion of relative constructibility and perversity. This applies to the more general setting where $p: \mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is replaced by a projection $p_X: \mathcal{X} = X \times S \rightarrow S$, where S is any complex manifold. We usually set $p = p_X$ when X is fixed. On the other hand, we call *holonomic* any coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module whose relative characteristic variety in $T^*(X \times S/S) = (T^*X) \times S$ is contained in a variety $\Lambda \times S$, where Λ is a conic Lagrangian variety in T^*X . We say that a $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module is *strict* if it is $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -flat.

Theorem 1.2. The de Rham complex and the solution complex of a strict holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module whose dual is also strict are perverse sheaves of $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules (up to a shift by $\dim X$). \square

A $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module \mathcal{M} underlying a mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -module is strict and holonomic (see [13]). Moreover, Mochizuki has defined a duality functor on the category

of mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -modules, proving in particular that the dual of \mathcal{M} as a $\mathcal{D}_{X^*|\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module is also strict holonomic. Therefore, these results together with Theorem 1.2 imply Theorem 1.1.

Note that, while our definition of perverse objects in the bounded derived category $D^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ intends to supply a notion of holomorphic family of perverse sheaves, we are not able, in the case of twistor \mathcal{D} -modules, to extend this notion to the case when the parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}^* = S$ also achieves the value zero, and to define a perversity property in the Dolbeault setting of [19] for the associated Higgs module.

We thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and their useful suggestions.

2 Relative Constructibility in the Case of a Projection

We keep the setting as above, but X is only assumed to be a real analytic manifold. Given a real analytic map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ between real analytic manifolds, we will denote by f_S (or f if the context is clear) the map $f \times \text{id}_S: Y \times S \rightarrow X \times S$.

2.1 Sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces and of $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be such a map. There are functors $f^{-1}, f^!, Rf_*, Rf_!$ between $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ and $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{Y \times S})$, and functors $f_S^{-1}, f_S^!, Rf_{S,*}, Rf_{S,!}$ between $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and $D^b(p_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. These functors correspond pairwise through the forgetful functor $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$. Indeed, this is clear except for $f_S^!$ and $f^!$. To check it, one decomposes f as a closed immersion and a projection. In the first case, the compatibility follows from the fact that both are equal to $f^{-1}R\Gamma_{f(X)}$ (see [6, Proposition 3.1.12]) and for the case of a projection one uses [6, Proposition 3.1.11 & 3.3.2]. We note also that the Poincaré–Verdier duality theorem [6, Proposition 3.1.10] holds on $D^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ (see [6, Remark 3.1.6(i)]). From now on, we will write f^{-1} , etc. instead of f_S^{-1} , etc.

The ring $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ is Noetherian, hence coherent (see [4, Proposition A.14]). For each $s_0 \in S$ let us denote by \mathfrak{m}_{s_0} the ideal of sections of \mathcal{O}_S vanishing at s_0 and by $i_{s_0}^*$ the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mod}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) &\longmapsto \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_X), \\ F &\longmapsto F \otimes_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S} p_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathfrak{m}_{s_0}). \end{aligned}$$

This functor will be useful for obtaining properties of $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ from well-known properties of $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let F and F' belong to $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then, for each $s_0 \in S$ there is a well-defined natural morphism

$$Li_{s_0}^*(R\mathcal{H}om_{p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)}(F, F')) \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(Li_{s_0}^*(F), Li_{s_0}^*(F'))$$

which is an isomorphism in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. □

Proof. Let us fix $s_0 \in S$. The existence of the morphism follows from [4, (A.10)]. Moreover, since $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ is a coherent ring as remarked above and $p_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S/m_{s_0})$ is $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -coherent, we can apply the argument given after (A.10) in [4, (A.10)] to show that it is an isomorphism. ■

Proposition 2.2. Let F and F' belong to $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and let $\phi: F \rightarrow F'$ be a morphism. Assume the following conditions:

- (1) for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(x, s) \in X \times S$, $\mathcal{H}^j(F)_{(x,s)}$ and $\mathcal{H}^j(F')_{(x,s)}$ are of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$,
- (2) for all $s_0 \in S$, the natural morphism

$$Li_{s_0}^*(\phi): Li_{s_0}^*(F) \rightarrow Li_{s_0}^*(F')$$

is an isomorphism in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Then ϕ is an isomorphism. □

Proof. It is enough to prove that the mapping cone of ϕ is quasi-isomorphic to 0. So we are led to proving that for $F \in D^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, if $\mathcal{H}^j(F)_{(x,s)}$ are of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ for all $(x, s) \in X \times S$, and $Li_{s_0}^*(F)$ is quasi-isomorphic to 0 for each $s_0 \in S$, then F is quasi-isomorphic to 0.

We may assume that S is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n with coordinates s^1, \dots, s^n and we will argue by induction on n . Assume $n=1$. For such an F , for each $s_0 \in S$ and any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the morphism $(s^1 - s_0^1): \mathcal{H}^j(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^j(F)$ is an isomorphism, hence $\mathcal{H}^j(F)/(s^1 - s_0^1)\mathcal{H}^j(F) = 0$ and by Nakayama's Lemma, for any $x \in X$, $\mathcal{H}^j(F)_{(x, s_0^1)} = 0$ and the result follows. For $n \geq 2$, the cone F' of the morphism $(s^n - s_0^n): F \rightarrow F$ also satisfies $Li_{s'_0}^* F' = 0$ for any $s'_0 = (s_0^1, \dots, s_0^{n-1})$, hence is zero by induction, so we can argue as in the case $n=1$. ■

2.2 S -locally constant sheaves

We say that a sheaf F of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces (resp. $p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules) on $X \times S$ is *S -locally constant* if, for each point $(x, s) \in X \times S$, there exists a neighborhood $U = V_x \times T_s$ of (x, s) and a sheaf $G^{(x,s)}$ of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces (resp. \mathcal{O}_S -modules) on T_s , such that $F|_U \simeq p_{V_x}^{-1}G^{(x,s)}$. The category of S -locally constant sheaves is an abelian full subcategory of that of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}_{X \times S}$ -vector spaces (resp. $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules), which is stable by extensions in the respective categories, by $\mathcal{H}om$ and tensor products. Moreover, if $\pi : Y \times X \times S \rightarrow Y \times S$ is the projection, with X contractible, then, if F' is S -locally constant on $Y \times X \times S$,

- π_*F' is S -locally constant on $Y \times S$,
- $R^k\pi_*F' = 0$ if $k > 0$,
- $F' \simeq \pi^{-1}\pi_*F'$.

Applying this to $Y = \{\text{pt}\}$, we find that, if F is S -locally constant, then for each $x \in X$ there exist a connected neighborhood V_x of x and a \mathbb{C}_S -module (resp. \mathcal{O}_S -module) $G^{(x)}$ such that $F = p_{V_x}^{-1}G^{(x)}$, and one has $G^{(x)} = p_{V_x,*}F|_{V_x \times S} = F|_{\{x\} \times S}$. We shall also denote by $D_{\text{lc}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $D_{\text{lc}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$) the bounded triangulated category whose objects are the complexes having S -locally constant cohomology sheaves. Similarly, for such a complex F we have $F|_{V_x \times S} \simeq p_{V_x}^{-1}Rp_{V_x,*}F|_{V_x \times S} \simeq p_{V_x}^{-1}F|_{\{x\} \times S}$.

We conclude from the previous remarks, by using the natural forgetful functor $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$:

Lemma 2.3.

- (1) An object F of $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ belongs to $D_{\text{lc}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ if and only if, when regarded as an object of $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$, it belongs to $D_{\text{lc}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$.
- (2) For any object F of $D_{\text{lc}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and for any $s_0 \in S$, $Li_{s_0}^*F$ belongs to $D_{\text{lc}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. \square

2.3 S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves

As long as the manifold X is fixed, we shall write p instead of p_X .

Definition 2.4. Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ (resp. $F \in D^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$). We shall say that F is *S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible* if there exists a subanalytic μ -stratification (X_α) of X (see [6, Definition 8.3.19]) such that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{H}^j(F)|_{X_\alpha \times S}$ is S -locally constant. \square

This condition characterizes a full triangulated subcategory $D_{w-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $D_{w-\mathbb{R}-c}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$) of $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ (resp. $D^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$). Due to Lemma 2.3, an object F of $D^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$

is in $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ if and only if it belongs to $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ when considered as an object of $D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$. As a consequence, this condition is independent of the choice of the μ -stratification. By mimicking for $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ the proof of [6, Proposition 8.4.1 & Theorem 8.4.2] and according to the previous remark for $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, we obtain:

Proposition 2.5. Let F belong to $D^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$.

- (1) Assume that F is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X . Then, given a μ -stratification $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ of X , (X_{α}) is adapted to F if and only if $SS(F) \subset (\bigsqcup_{\alpha} T_{X_{\alpha}}^* X) \times T^*S$.
- (2) F is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X if and only if there exists a closed conic subanalytic Lagrangian subset Λ of T^*X such that $SS(F) \subset \Lambda \times T^*S$. \square

Proposition 2.6. Let $F \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and let $s_o \in S$. Then $Li_{s_o}^*(F) \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. \square

Proof. Let $i_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$ denote the locally closed inclusion of a stratum of an adapted stratification (X_{α}) . It is enough to observe that, for each α , we have $i_{\alpha}^{-1}Li_{s_o}^*(F) \simeq Li_{s_o}^*(i_{\alpha,S}^{-1}F)$, and to apply Lemma 2.3(2). \blacksquare

Let now Y be another real analytic manifold and consider a real analytic map $f : Y \rightarrow X$. The following statements for objects of $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ are easily deduced from Proposition 2.5 similarly to the absolute case treated in [6], as consequences of Theorem 8.3.17, Proposition 8.3.11, Corollary 6.4.4 and Proposition 5.4.4 of [6]. In order to obtain the same statements for objects of $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, one uses Lemma 2.3(1) together with Section 2.1. We will not distinguish between f and f_S .

Proposition 2.7.

- (1) If F is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X , then so are $f^{-1}(F)$ and $f^!(F)$.
- (2) Assume that F' is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on Y and that f is proper on $\text{Supp}(F')$. Then Rf_*F' is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X . \square

Given a closed subanalytic subset $Y \subset X$, we will denote by $i : Y \times S \hookrightarrow X \times S$ the closed inclusion and by j the complementary open inclusion.

Corollary 2.8. Assume that F^* is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on $X \setminus Y$ with respect to a μ -stratification of $X \setminus Y$ induced from one on X . Then the objects $Rj_!F^*$ and Rj_*F^* are also S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible on X . \square

Proof. Since we can refine the μ -stratification on X so that Y is a union of strata, the statement for $Rj_!F^*$ is obvious. Then Proposition 2.7 implies that $i^!Rj_!F^*$ is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible. We conclude by using the distinguished triangle

$$Ri_{*}i^!Rj_!F^* \rightarrow Rj_!F^* \rightarrow Rj_*F^* \xrightarrow{+1}$$

and the S -weak \mathbb{R} -constructibility of the first two terms. ■

Proposition 2.9. An object $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X \times S})$ (resp. $F \in D^b(p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S))$) is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to a μ -stratification (X_α) if and only if, for each α , $i_\alpha^!F$ has S -locally constant cohomology on X_α . □

Proof. Assume that F is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to a μ -stratification (X_α) of X . Then $i_\alpha^!F$ has S -locally constant cohomology on X_α . Indeed the estimation of the micro-support of [6, Corollary 6.4.4(ii)] implies that $SS(i_\alpha^!F)$ (like $SS(i_\alpha^*F)$) is contained in $T_{X_\alpha}^*X_\alpha \times T^*S$, so $i_\alpha^!F$ has locally constant cohomology on X_α for each α , according to Proposition 2.5.

Conversely, if $i_\alpha^!F$ is locally constant for each α , then F is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible. Indeed, we argue by induction and we denote by X_k the union of strata of codimension $\leq k$ in X . Assume we have proved that $F|_{X_{k-1} \times S}$ is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to the stratification (X_α) with $\text{codim} X_\alpha \leq k-1$. We denote by $j_k: X_{k-1} \hookrightarrow X_k$ the open inclusion and by i_k the complementary closed inclusion. According to Corollary 2.8, $Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible with respect to $(X_\alpha)_{|X_k}$. Now, by using the exact triangle $i_k^!F \rightarrow i_k^{-1}F \rightarrow i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F \xrightarrow{+1}$, we conclude that $i_k^{-1}F$ is locally constant, hence $F|_{X_k \times S}$ is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible. ■

Corollary 2.10. Let $F, F' \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then $R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F')$ also belongs to $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. □

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.9, it is sufficient to prove that for each α , $i_\alpha^!R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F')$ belongs to $D_{lc}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Setting $p_\alpha = p_{X_\alpha}$ for short, we have:

$$i_\alpha^!R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{p_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(i_\alpha^{-1}F, i_\alpha^!F').$$

Since both $i_\alpha^{-1}F$ and $i_\alpha^!F'$ belong to $D_{lc}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, according to Proposition 2.9, we have locally on X_α isomorphisms $i_\alpha^{-1}F = p_\alpha^{-1}G_\alpha$ and $i_\alpha^!F' = p_\alpha^{-1}G'_\alpha = p_\alpha^!G'_\alpha[-\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X_\alpha]$ for some

\mathcal{O}_S -modules G_α and G'_α . Then

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om_{p_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(i_\alpha^{-1}F, i_\alpha^!F') &= R\mathcal{H}om_{p_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(p_\alpha^{-1}G_\alpha, p_\alpha^!G'_\alpha[-\dim_{\mathbb{R}}X_\alpha]) \\ &\simeq p_\alpha^!R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(G_\alpha, G'_\alpha)[-\dim_{\mathbb{R}}X_\alpha] \\ &= p_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(G_\alpha, G'_\alpha). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma will be useful in the next section. Assume that $X = Y \times Z$ and that the μ -stratification (X_α) of X takes the form $X_\alpha = Y \times Z_\alpha$, where (Z_α) is a μ -stratification of Z . We denote by $q: X \rightarrow Y$ the projection. Let $z_0 \in Z$ that we can assume to be a stratum, let $U \ni z_0$ be a coordinate neighborhood of z_0 in Z and, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, let $B_\varepsilon \subset U$ be the open ball of radius ε centered at z_0 and let \bar{B}_ε be the closed ball and S_ε its boundary. For the sake of simplicity, we denote by q_ε , $q_{\bar{\varepsilon}}$, and $q_{\partial\varepsilon}$ the corresponding projections. The sheaf-theoretic restrictions to these sets will be implicit in the notation below.

We set $Z^* = Z \setminus \{z_0\}$ and $X^* = Y \times Z^*$. We denote by $i: Y \times \{z_0\} \hookrightarrow Y \times Z$ and by $j: Y \times Z^* \hookrightarrow Y \times Z$ the complementary closed and open inclusions.

Lemma 2.11. Let $F^* \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_{X^*}^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $F^* \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_{X^*}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$) be adapted to the previous stratification. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, the natural morphisms

$$Rq_{\partial\varepsilon,*}F^* \longleftarrow Rq_{\bar{\varepsilon},*}Rj_*F^* \longrightarrow Rq_{\varepsilon,*}Rj_*F^* \longrightarrow i^{-1}Rj_*F^*$$

are isomorphisms. □

Proof. We note that, according to Corollary 2.8, $F := Rj_*F^*$ is S -weakly \mathbb{R} -constructible, and is adapted to the stratification $(Y \times Z_\alpha)$. On the other hand, according to Section 2.1, it is enough to consider the case where F^* is an object of $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_{X^*}^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$.

Let us start with the right morphisms. We can argue with any object $F \in D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$, not necessarily of the form Rj_*F^* . Recall that we have an adjunction morphism $q_\varepsilon^{-1}Rq_{\varepsilon,*} \rightarrow \text{id}$ and thus $i^{-1}q_\varepsilon^{-1}Rq_{\varepsilon,*} \rightarrow i^{-1}$. Since $q_\varepsilon \circ i = \text{id}_{Y \times S}$, we obtain the second right morphism. The first one is the restriction morphism.

According to [6, Propositions 8.3.12 and 5.4.17], there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ in $(0, \varepsilon_0)$, the restriction morphisms $Rq_{\bar{\varepsilon},*}F \rightarrow Rq_{\varepsilon,*}F \rightarrow Rq_{\bar{\varepsilon}',*}F \rightarrow Rq_{\varepsilon',*}F$ are isomorphisms. In particular, the first right morphism is an isomorphism.

Let us take a q -soft representative of F , that we still denote by F . The inductive system $q_{\varepsilon,*}F$ ($\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) has limit $i^{-1}F$ and all morphisms of this system are quasi-isomorphisms. Hence, the second right morphism is a quasi-isomorphism.

For the left morphism, we take a q -soft representative of F^* that we still denote by F^* . For $\varepsilon_- < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_+ < \varepsilon_0$, we denote by $B_{\varepsilon_-, \varepsilon_+}$ the open set $B_{\varepsilon_+} \setminus \bar{B}_{\varepsilon_-}$ and by $q_{\varepsilon_-, \varepsilon_+}$ the corresponding projection. We have $q_{\partial\varepsilon,*}F^* = \varinjlim_{|\varepsilon_+ - \varepsilon_-| \rightarrow 0} q_{\varepsilon_-, \varepsilon_+,*}F^*$. On the other hand, the morphisms of this inductive system are all quasi-isomorphisms, according to [6, Proposition 5.4.17]. Fixing $\varepsilon' \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$, we find a quasi-isomorphism $q_{\varepsilon',*}F^* \rightarrow q_{\partial\varepsilon,*}F^*$. On the other hand, from the first part we have $q_{\varepsilon',*}F^* \xrightarrow{\sim} q_{\varepsilon,*}F^*$, hence the result. \blacksquare

Remark 2.12. An argument similar to that used in the first part of the proof gives an isomorphism $i^!F \xrightarrow{\sim} Rq_{\varepsilon,!}F$, by using [6, Proposition 5.4.17(c)]. \square

2.4 S -coherent local systems and S - \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves

Notation 2.13. We shall denote by $D_{\text{lc coh}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $D_{\text{lc}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ whose objects satisfy, locally on X , $F \simeq p_X^{-1}G$ with $G \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Equivalently, for each $x \in X$, $F_{|\{x\} \times S} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$ (see the remarks before Lemma 2.3). \square

Definition 2.14. Given $F \in D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, we say that F is \mathbb{R} -constructible if, for some μ -stratification of X , $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{H}^j(F)|_{X_{\alpha} \times S} \in D_{\text{lc coh}}^b(p_{X_{\alpha}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. This condition characterizes a full triangulated subcategory of $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ which we denote by $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. \square

Similarly to Proposition 2.6 we have:

Proposition 2.15. Let $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and let $s_0 \in S$. Then $Li_{s_0}^*(F) \in D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. \square

Remark 2.16. An object of $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ is in $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ if and only if, for any $x \in X$, $F_{|\{x\} \times S}$ belongs to $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$. \square

A straightforward adaptation of [6, Proposition 8.4.8] gives:

Proposition 2.17. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of manifolds and let $F \in D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Assume that f_S is proper on $\text{Supp}(F)$. Then

$$Rf_{S,*}F \in D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S). \quad \square$$

We can also characterize $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ as in Corollary 2.9.

Corollary 2.18. An object $F \in D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ is in $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ if and only if, for some sub-analytic Whitney stratification (X_α) of X , the complexes $i_\alpha^!F$ belong to $D_{\text{lc coh}}^b(p_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. \square

Proof. Assume F is in $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. We need to prove the coherence of $i_\alpha^!F$. We argue by induction as in Corollary 2.9, with the same notation. Since the question is local on X_k , by the Whitney property of the stratification (X_α) we can assume that $X_{k-1} = Z \times Y_k$ and that there exists a Whitney stratification (Z_α) of Z such that $X_\alpha = Z_\alpha \times Y_k$ for each α such that $X_\alpha \subset X_{k-1}$ (see e.g. [1, Section 1.4]). Proving that $i_k^!F$ is $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -coherent is equivalent to proving that $i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is so, since we already know that $i_k^{-1}F$ is so. According to Lemma 2.11, $i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is computed as $Rq_{\partial\varepsilon,*}j_k^{-1}F$, and since $q_{\partial\varepsilon}$ is proper, we can apply Proposition 2.17 to obtain the coherence.

Conversely, Corollary 2.9 already implies that F is an object of $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. We argue then as above: since we know by assumption that $i_k^!F$ is coherent, it suffices to prove that $i_k^{-1}Rj_{k,*}j_k^{-1}F$ is so, and the previous argument applies. \blacksquare

2.5 S-weakly \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves and S- \mathbb{C} -constructible sheaves

Let us now assume that X is a complex analytic manifold.

Definition 2.19.

- (1) Let $F \in D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $F \in D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$). We shall say that F is *S-weakly \mathbb{C} -constructible* if $SS(F)$ is \mathbb{C}^* -conic. The corresponding categories are denoted by $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$ (resp. $F \in D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$).
- (2) If F belongs to $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, we say that F is *S- \mathbb{C} -constructible* if $F \in D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, and we denote by $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ the corresponding category, which is full triangulated sub-category of $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. \square

The following properties are obtained in a straightforward way, by using [6, Theorem 8.5.5] in a way similar to [6, Proposition 8.5.7].

Properties 2.20.

- (1) An object F of $D^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ belongs to $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ if and only if it belongs to $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathbb{C}_S)$.

- (2) Proposition 2.5 applies to S -weakly \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes provided that one assumes the stratification to be \mathbb{C} -analytic and the Lagrangian varieties to be \mathbb{C}^* -conic. We will implicitly make this assumption in such a case.
- (3) Remark 2.16 applies to $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$.
- (4) Proposition 2.7 applies to $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b$.
- (5) Propositions 2.15, 2.17, and Corollary 2.18 apply to $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$.
- (6) Corollary 2.10 applies to $D_{w\text{-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}'}^b$, $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b$ and $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b$. □

2.6 Duality

According to the syzygy theorem for the regular local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ (for any $s \in S$) and for example, [7, Proposition 13.2.2(ii)] (for the opposite category), any object of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$ is locally quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex L^\bullet of locally free \mathcal{O}_S -modules of finite rank. As a consequence, the local duality functor

$$D : D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S), \quad D(\mathcal{F}) := R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_S)$$

is seen to be an involution, that is, the natural morphism $\text{id} \rightarrow D \circ D$ is an isomorphism. However, the standard t -structure

$$(D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \leq 0}(\mathcal{O}_S), D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \geq 0}(\mathcal{O}_S))$$

defined by $\mathcal{H}^j G = 0$ for $j > 0$ (resp. for $j < 0$) is not interchanged by duality when $\dim S \geq 1$ (see e.g. [5, Proposition 4.3] in the algebraic setting). Nevertheless, we have:

Lemma 2.21. Let G be an object of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Assume that DG belongs to $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \leq 0}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then G belongs to $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \geq 0}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. □

Proof. Setting $G' = DG$, the biduality isomorphism makes it equivalent to proving that DG' belongs to $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \geq 0}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. The question is local on S and we may therefore replace G' with a bounded complex L^\bullet as above. Moreover, L^\bullet is quasi-isomorphic to such a bounded complex, still denoted by L^\bullet , such that $L^k = 0$ for $k > 0$. Indeed, note first that the kernel K of a surjective morphism of locally free \mathcal{O}_S -modules of finite rank is also locally free of finite rank (being \mathcal{O}_S -coherent and having all its germs K_s free over $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$, because they are projective and $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ is a regular local ring). By assumption, we have $\mathcal{H}^j(L^\bullet) = 0$ for $j > 0$. Let $k > 0$ be such that $L^k \neq 0$ and $L^\ell = 0$ for $\ell > k$, and let $L'^{k-1} = \ker[L^{k-1} \rightarrow L^k]$.

Then L^\bullet is quasi-isomorphic to L'^\bullet defined by $L'^j = L^j$ for $j < k - 1$ and $L'^j = 0$ for $j \geq k$. We conclude by induction on k .

Now it is clear that $DG' \simeq DL^\bullet$ is a bounded complex having terms in nonnegative degrees at most, and thus is an object of $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{b}, \geq 0}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. \blacksquare

Remark 2.22. Let G be an object of $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Assume that G and DG belong to $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{b}, \leq 0}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then G and DG are \mathcal{O}_S -coherent sheaves, hence G and DG are \mathcal{O}_S -locally free. \square

We now set $\omega_{X,S} = p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S[2 \dim X] = p_X^!\mathcal{O}_S$.

Proposition 2.23. The functor $D : D^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow D^+(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ defined by $DF = R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, \omega_{X,S})$ induces an involution $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. \square

We will also set $D'F = R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Proof. Let us first show that, for F in $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, the dual DF also belongs to $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Let (X_α) be a μ -stratification adapted to F . According to Corollary 2.9, it is enough to show that $i_\alpha^!DF$ has locally constant cohomology for each α . One can use [6, Proposition 3.1.13] in our setting and obtain

$$i_\alpha^!DF = R\mathcal{H}om_{p_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(i_\alpha^{-1}F, \omega_{X_\alpha,S}).$$

Locally on X_α , $i_\alpha^{-1}F = p_\alpha^{-1}G$ for some G in $D^{\text{b}}(\mathbb{C}_S)$ or $D^{\text{b}}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then, locally on X_α ,

$$\begin{aligned} i_\alpha^!DF &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{p_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(p_\alpha^{-1}G, p_\alpha^!\mathcal{O}_S) = p_\alpha^!R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(G, \mathcal{O}_S) \\ &= p_\alpha^{-1}(DG)[2 \dim X_\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for F in $D_{\text{w-}\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ is similar. Moreover, by using Corollary 2.18 instead of Corollary 2.9 one shows that D sends $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ to itself and, according to Properties 2.20(5), $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ to itself.

Let us prove the involution property. We have a natural morphism of functors $\text{id} \rightarrow DD$. It is enough to prove the isomorphism property after applying $Li_{s_0}^*$ for each $s_0 \in S$, according to Proposition 2.2. On the other hand, Proposition 2.1 implies

that $L i_{s_0}^*$ commutes with D , so we are reduced to applying the involution property on $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$, according to the \mathbb{C} -c-analog of Proposition 2.15, which is known to be true (see e.g. [6]). \blacksquare

Remark 2.24. By using the biduality isomorphism and the isomorphism $i_x^! D F \simeq D i_x^{-1} F$ for F in $D_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$ or $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$, where $i_x: \{x\} \times S \hookrightarrow X \times S$ denotes the inclusion, we find a functorial isomorphism $i_x^{-1} D F \simeq D i_x^! F$. \square

2.7 Perversity

We will now restrict to the case of S - \mathbb{C} -constructible complexes, which is the only case which will be of interest to us, although one could consider the case of S - \mathbb{R} -constructible complexes as in [6, Section 10.2].

We define the category ${}^p D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$ as the full subcategory of $D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$ whose objects are the S - \mathbb{C} -constructible bounded complexes F such that, for some adapted μ -stratification (X_α) (i_x is as above),

$$\forall \alpha, \forall x \in X_\alpha, \forall j > -\dim X_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{H}^j i_x^{-1} F = 0. \tag{Supp}$$

Similarly, ${}^p D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$ consists of objects F such that

$$\forall \alpha, \forall x \in X_\alpha, \forall j < \dim X_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{H}^j i_x^! F = 0. \tag{Cosupp}$$

In the preceding situation in view of Corollary 2.18 we have, similarly to [6, Proposition 10.2.4]:

Lemma 2.25.

- (1) $F \in {}^p D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$ if and only if for any α and $j > -\dim(X_\alpha)$,

$$\mathcal{H}^j (i_\alpha^{-1} F) = 0.$$

- (2) $F \in {}^p D_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$ if and only if for any α and $j < -\dim(X_\alpha)$,

$$\mathcal{H}^j (i_\alpha^! F) = 0. \tag{\square}$$

Namely, if $F \in {}^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and Z is a pure k -dimensional stratum of a μ -stratification adapted to F , then $i_{Z \times S}^{-1}F$ is concentrated in degrees $\leq -k$, and if $F' \in {}^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, then $i_{Z \times S}^!F'$ is concentrated in degrees $\geq -k$. We have the following variant of [6, Proposition 10.2.7]:

Proposition 2.26. Let F be an object of ${}^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{W}\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and F' an object of ${}^{\mathbb{P}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{W}\text{-}\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^j R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') = 0 \quad \text{for } j < 0. \quad \square$$

Proof. Let (X_α) be a μ -stratification of X adapted to F and F' . By assumption, for each α , $i_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{H}^j F = \mathcal{H}^j i_\alpha^{-1}F = 0$ for $j > -\dim X_\alpha$. Similarly, $\mathcal{H}^j i_\alpha^!F' = 0$ for $j < -\dim X_\alpha$.

Let X_α be a stratum of maximal dimension such that

$$i_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{H}^j R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } j < 0.$$

Let V be an open neighborhood of X_α in X such that $V \setminus X_\alpha$ intersects only strata of dimension $> \dim X_\alpha$, and let $j_\alpha : (V \setminus X_\alpha) \times S \hookrightarrow V \times S$ be the inclusion. Then the complex $i_\alpha^{-1}Rj_{\alpha,*}j_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F')$ has nonzero cohomology in nonnegative degrees only: indeed, by the definition of X_α , this property holds for $j_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F')$, hence it holds for $Rj_{\alpha,*}j_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F')$, and then clearly for the complex $i_\alpha^{-1}Rj_{\alpha,*}j_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F')$. From the distinguished triangle

$$\begin{aligned} i_\alpha^!R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') &\rightarrow i_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') \\ &\rightarrow i_\alpha^{-1}Rj_{\alpha,*}j_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') \xrightarrow{+1} \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^j i_\alpha^!R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^j i_\alpha^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') = i_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{H}^j R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F')$ is an isomorphism for all $j < 0$. Therefore, we obtain, for this stratum X_α and for any $j < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} i_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{H}^j R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') &\simeq \mathcal{H}^j i_\alpha^!R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(F, F') \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}^j R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(i_\alpha^{-1}F, i_\alpha^!F'). \end{aligned}$$

Since $i_\alpha^{-1}F$ has nonzero cohomology in degrees $\leq -\dim X_\alpha$ at most and $i_\alpha^!F'$ in degrees $\geq -\dim X_\alpha$ at most, $\mathcal{H}^j R\mathcal{H}om_{p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S}(i_\alpha^{-1}F, i_\alpha^!F') = 0$ for $j < 0$, a contradiction with the definition of X_α . ■

Theorem 2.27. $\text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and $\text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ form a t -structure of $\text{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, whose heart is denoted by $\text{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. □

Sketch of proof. We have to prove:

- (1) $\text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0} \subset \text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 1}$ and $\text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 0} \supset \text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 1}$.
- (2) For $F \in \text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and $F' \in \text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 1}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, $\text{Hom}_{\text{D}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)}(F, F') = 0$.
- (3) For any $F \in \text{D}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ there exist $F' \in \text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and $F'' \in \text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\geq 1}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$, giving rise to a distinguished triangle $F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \xrightarrow{+1}$.

Then, following the line of the proof of [6, Theorem 10.2.8], we observe that (1) is obvious and (2) follows from Proposition 2.26. Now, (3) is deduced by mimicking stepwise the proof of (c) in [6, Theorem 10.2.8]. ■

According to the preliminary remarks before Lemma 2.21, one cannot expect that the previous t -structure is interchanged by duality when $\dim S \geq 1$. However, we have:

Proposition 2.28. Let F be an object of $\text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ such that DF also belongs to $\text{pD}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-c}}^{\leq 0}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Then F and DF are objects of $\text{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. □

Proof. Let us fix $x \in X_\alpha$. We have $i_x^!F \simeq D(i_x^{-1}DF)$, as already observed in Remark 2.24. By assumption $G := i_x^{-1}DF$ belongs to $\text{D}_{\text{coh}}^{b, \leq -\dim X_\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_S)$, and Lemma 2.21 suitably shifted and applied to DG implies that DG belongs to $\text{D}_{\text{coh}}^{b, \geq \dim X_\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_S)$, which is the cosupport condition (Cosupp) for F . ■

Assume $F \in \text{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. The description of the dual standard t -structure on $\text{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{O}_S)$ given in [5, Section 4] supplies the following refinement to (Supp) and (Cosupp) when DF is also perverse.

Corollary 2.29. Let $F \in \text{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$ and assume that $DF \in \text{Perv}(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. Let (X_α) be a stratification adapted to F . Then for each α , each $x \in X_\alpha$ and each closed analytic subset

$\Sigma \subset S$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^k(i_{[x] \times \Sigma}^! F) = 0 \quad \forall k < \text{codim}_S \Sigma + \dim X_\alpha. \quad (\text{Cosupp+}) \quad \square$$

(The perversity of F only gives the previous property when $\Sigma = S$.)

3 The de Rham Complex of a Holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module

In what follows X and S denote complex manifolds and we set $n = \dim X$ and $\ell = \dim S$. We shall keep the notation of the preceding section. Let $\pi : T^*(X \times S) \rightarrow T^*X \times S$ denote the projection and let $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ denote the subsheaf of $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ of relative differential operators with respect to p_X (see [18, Sections 2.1 & 2.2]).

Recall that $p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ is contained in the center of $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$. With the same proof as for Proposition 2.1 we obtain:

Proposition 3.1. Let $s_0 \in S$ be given. Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be objects of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Then, there is a well-defined natural morphism

$$Li_{s_0}^*(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{i_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})}(Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}), Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{N}))$$

which is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. □

3.1 Duality for coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -modules

We refer, for instance, to [4, Appendix] for the coherence properties of the ring $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$. The classical methods used in the absolute case, that is, for coherent \mathcal{D}_X -objects (see [9, Proposition 2.1.16; 3, Lemma 3.1.1] or [10, Proposition 2.7-3]) apply here:

Proposition 3.2. Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module. Then \mathcal{M} locally admits a resolution of length at most $2n + \ell$ by free $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -modules of finite rank. □

Proposition 3.2 and [7, Proposition 13.2.2(ii)] (for the opposite category) imply:

Corollary 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Let us assume that \mathcal{M} is concentrated in degrees $[a, b]$. Then, in a neighborhood of each $(x, z) \in X \times S$, there exist a complex \mathcal{L}^\bullet of free $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -modules of finite rank concentrated in degrees $[a - 2n - \ell, b]$ and a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. □

We set $\Omega_{X \times S/S} = \Omega_{X \times S/S}^n$, where $\Omega_{X \times S/S}^n$ denotes the sheaf of relative differential forms of degree $n = \dim X$.

Definition 3.4. The duality functor $D(\cdot) : D^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}) \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto D\mathcal{M} = R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}} \Omega_{X \times S/S}^{\otimes -1})[n].$$

We also set $D'\mathcal{M} := R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}) \in D^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}^{\text{opp}})$. □

By Proposition 3.2, $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ has finite cohomological dimension, so [4, (A.11)] gives a natural morphism in $D^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$:

$$\mathcal{M} \rightarrow D'D'\mathcal{M} \simeq DD\mathcal{M}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, in view of Corollary 3.3, if $\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$, then $D'\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}^{\text{opp}})$. Indeed, we may choose a local free finite resolution \mathcal{L}^\bullet of \mathcal{M} , so that $D'\mathcal{M}$ is quasi isomorphic to the transposed complex $(\mathcal{L}^\bullet)^t$ whose entries are free.

By the same argument, we deduce that (1) is an isomorphism whenever $\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$.

Again by Proposition 3.2, $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ has finite flat dimension so we are in condition to apply [4, (A.10)]: given $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in D^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ there is a natural morphism:

$$D'\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}^L \mathcal{N} \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \tag{2}$$

which is an isomorphism provided that \mathcal{M} or \mathcal{N} belong to $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. When $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$, composing (2) with the biduality isomorphism (1) gives a natural isomorphism

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(D\mathcal{N}, D\mathcal{M}). \tag{3}$$

3.2 Characteristic variety

Recall (see [17, Section III.1.3]) that the characteristic variety $\text{Char}\mathcal{M}$ of a coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module \mathcal{M} is the support in $T^*X \times S$ of its graded module with respect to any

(local) good filtration. One has (see [17, Proposition III.1.3.2])

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Char}(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}} \mathcal{M}) &= \pi^{-1} \mathrm{Char} \mathcal{M}, \\ \mathrm{Char} \mathcal{M} &= \pi(\mathrm{Char}(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}} \mathcal{M})).\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

One may as well define the characteristic variety of an object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ as the union of the characteristic varieties of its cohomology modules. By the flatness of $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S}$ over $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$, (4) holds for any object of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$.

Proposition 3.5 ([18, Proposition 2.5]). For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$, we have

$$\mathrm{Char}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Char}(\mathbf{D} \mathcal{M}). \quad \square$$

3.3 The de Rham and solution complexes

For an object \mathcal{M} of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$, we define the functors

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M} &:= R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}, \mathcal{M}), \\ \mathrm{Sol} \mathcal{M} &:= R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times S})\end{aligned}$$

which take values in $\mathbf{D}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. If \mathcal{M} is a $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module, that is, an $\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$ -module equipped with an integrable relative connection $\nabla: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times S/S}^1 \otimes \mathcal{M}$, the object $\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M}$ is represented by the complex $(\Omega_{X \times S/S}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}} \mathcal{M}, \nabla)$.

Noting that $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times S}, \mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}) \simeq \Omega_{X \times S/S}[-\dim X]$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{D} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}.$$

For $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}$, (3) implies a natural isomorphism, for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$:

$$\mathrm{Sol} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathrm{DR} \mathbf{D} \mathcal{M}.\tag{5}$$

3.4 Holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -modules

Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module. We say that it is *holonomic* if its characteristic variety $\mathrm{Char} \mathcal{M} \subset T^*X \times S$ is contained in $\Lambda \times S$ for some closed conic Lagrangian complex

analytic subset of T^*X . We will say that a complex μ -stratification (X_α) is adapted to \mathcal{M} if $\Lambda \subset \bigcup_\alpha T_{X_\alpha}^* X$.

An object $\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ is said to be holonomic if its cohomology modules are holonomic. We denote the full triangulated category of holonomic complexes by $D_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. A complex μ -stratification (X_α) is said to be adapted to \mathcal{M} if it is adapted to each cohomology module.

Corollary 3.6 (of Proposition 3.5). If \mathcal{M} is an object of $D_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$, then so is $D\mathcal{M}$. \square

Theorem 3.7. Let \mathcal{M} be an object of $D_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$. Then $\text{DR}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{Sol } \mathcal{M}$ belong to $D_{\text{C-c}}^b(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$. \square

Proof. Firstly, it follows [6, Proposition 11.3.3] that $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{DR}(\mathcal{M})$ have their micro-support contained in $\Lambda \times T^*S$ (see [18, p. 11 and Theorem 2.13]) and, according to Proposition 2.5, these complexes are objects of $D_{\text{w-C-c}}^b(p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S)$.

Let $x \in X$. In order to prove that $i_x^{-1} \text{DR } \mathcal{M}$ has \mathcal{O}_S -coherent cohomology, we can assume that x is a stratum of a stratification adapted to $\text{DR } \mathcal{M}$, and we use Lemma 2.11 to obtain $i_x^{-1} \text{DR } \mathcal{M} \simeq R p_{\bar{B}_\varepsilon, *}(C_{\bar{B}_\varepsilon \times S} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{DR } \mathcal{M})$ for ε small enough, where \bar{B}_ε is a closed ball of radius ε centered at x . One then remarks that $(C_{\bar{B}_\varepsilon \times S}, \mathcal{M})$ forms a relative elliptic pair in the sense of [18], and Proposition 4.1 of loc. cit. gives the desired coherence.

The statement for $\text{Sol } \mathcal{M}$ is proved similarly. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.8 (see [14, Proposition 1.2.5]). For \mathcal{M} in $D_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ with adapted stratification (X_α) and for any $s_0 \in S$, $L i_{s_0}^* \mathcal{M}$ is \mathcal{D}_X -holonomic and (X_α) is adapted to it. \square

Corollary 3.9. For $\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$, there is a natural isomorphism $D' \text{Sol } \mathcal{M} \simeq \text{DR } \mathcal{M}$. \square

Proof. We consider the canonical pairing

$$\text{DR } \mathcal{M} \otimes_{p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S}^L \text{Sol } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$$

which gives a natural morphism

$$\text{DR } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow D' \text{Sol } \mathcal{M}$$

in $D_{\mathbb{C}-c}^b(p_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S)$. We have for each $s_0 \in S$, by Proposition 3.1

$$Li_{s_0}^*(\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{DR} Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}),$$

$$Li_{s_0}^*(\mathrm{Sol} \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{Sol} Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}).$$

Since $Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}) \in D_{\mathrm{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\mathrm{DR} Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbf{D}' \mathrm{Sol} Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}),$$

so by Propositions 2.1 and 3.1

$$\mathbf{D}' \mathrm{Sol} Li_{s_0}^*(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbf{D}' Li_{s_0}^*(\mathrm{Sol} \mathcal{M}) \simeq Li_{s_0}^*(\mathbf{D}' \mathrm{Sol} \mathcal{M}).$$

The assertion then follows by Proposition 2.2. ■

In the following proposition, the main argument is that of strictness, which is essential. We will set ${}^p\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M} := \mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M}[\dim X]$ and ${}^p\mathrm{Sol} \mathcal{M} = \mathrm{Sol} \mathcal{M}[\dim X]$.

Proposition 3.10. Let \mathcal{M} be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module which is strict, that is, which is $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -flat. Then ${}^p\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the support condition (Supp) with respect to a μ -stratification adapted to \mathcal{M} . □

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $\dim S$. Since it is local on S , we consider a local coordinate s on S and we set $S' = \{s=0\}$. The strictness property implies that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0,$$

and $i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S'/S'}$ -holonomic and $p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{S'}$ -flat. We deduce an exact sequence of complexes $0 \rightarrow {}^p\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{s} {}^p\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}^p\mathrm{DR} i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$.

Let X_α be a stratum of a μ -stratification of X adapted to \mathcal{M} (hence to $i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M}$, after Lemma 3.8). For $x \in X_\alpha$, let k be the maximum of the indices j such that $\mathcal{H}^j i_x^{-1} {}^p\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M} \neq 0$. For any S' as above, we have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} {}^p\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} {}^p\mathrm{DR} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} {}^p\mathrm{DR} i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $k > -\dim X_\alpha$, we have $\mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} \text{pDR } i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M} = 0$, according to the support condition for $i_{S'}^* \mathcal{M}$ (inductive assumption), since (X_α) is adapted to it. Therefore, $s : \mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} \text{pDR } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} \text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$ is onto. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.7, $\mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} \text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$ is \mathcal{O}_S -coherent. Then Nakayama's lemma implies that $\mathcal{H}^k i_x^{-1} \text{pDR } \mathcal{M} = 0$ in some neighborhood of S' . Since S' was arbitrary, this holds all over S , hence the assertion. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is a direct consequence of the following.

Theorem 3.11. Let \mathcal{M} be an object of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{hol}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S})$ and let $\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}$ be the dual object. Then there is an isomorphism $\text{pDR } \mathbf{D}\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbf{D} \text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$. □

Indeed, with the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, $\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}$ is holonomic since \mathcal{M} is so (see Corollary 3.6), and both \mathcal{M} and $\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}$ are strict. Then both $\text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$ and $\text{pDR } \mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}$ satisfy the support condition, according to Proposition 3.10. Hence, according to Theorem 3.11 and Proposition 2.28, $\text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the cosupport condition.

Similarly, $\text{pSol } \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbf{D} \text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathbf{D}(\text{pSol } \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$ both satisfy the support condition, hence $\text{Sol } \mathcal{M}[\dim X]$ is a perverse object. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.11. According to (5), we have $\text{DR } \mathbf{D}\mathcal{M} = \text{Sol } \mathcal{M}$, so by Corollary 3.9 we obtain $\mathbf{D}' \text{DR } \mathbf{D}\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{DR } \mathcal{M}$, that is, $\mathbf{D} \text{pDR } \mathbf{D}\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{pDR } \mathcal{M}$. Due to Theorem 3.7, we can apply Proposition 2.23 to conclude by biduality. ■

Example 3.12. Let X be the open unit disc in \mathbb{C} with coordinate x and let S be a connected open set of \mathbb{C} with coordinate s . Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nonconstant holomorphic function on S and consider the holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}$ -module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S} / \mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S} \cdot P$, with $P = x\partial_x - \varphi(s)$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{M} has no \mathcal{O}_S -torsion and admits the resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 0$, so that the dual module $\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}$ has a similar presentation and is also \mathcal{O}_S -flat. The complex $\text{pSol } \mathcal{M}$ is represented by $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times S} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S} \rightarrow 0$ (terms in degrees -1 and 0). Consider the stratification $X_1 = X \setminus \{0\}$ and $X_0 = \{0\}$ of X . Then $\mathcal{H}^{-1} \text{pSol } \mathcal{M}|_{X_1}$ is a locally constant sheaf of free $p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ -modules generated by a local determination of $x^{\varphi(s)}$, and $\mathcal{H}^0 \text{pSol } \mathcal{M}|_{X_1} = 0$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{H}^{-1} \text{pSol } \mathcal{M}|_{X_0} = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^0 \text{pSol } \mathcal{M}|_{X_0}$ is a skyscraper sheaf on $X_0 \times S$ supported on $\{s \in S \mid \varphi(s) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

For each x_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{x_0}^!(\mathrm{PSol} \mathcal{M}) &\simeq i_{\{x_0\} \times S}^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S}}(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}} \mathcal{M}, R\Gamma_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S} \mathcal{O}_{X \times S}[\dim X]) \\ &\simeq i_{\{x_0\} \times S}^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S}}(\mathcal{D}_{X \times S} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}} \mathcal{M}, B_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S}), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S} := \mathcal{H}_{[\{x_0\} \times S]}^1(\mathcal{O}_{X \times S})$ denotes the sheaf of holomorphic hyperfunctions (of finite order) along $x = x_0$ (cf. [16]). The second isomorphism follows from the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{X \times S} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times S/S}} \mathcal{M}$ is regular specializable along the submanifold $x = x_0$ (cf. [8]).

Recall that the sheaves $B_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S}$ are flat over $p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ because locally they are inductive limits of free $p_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_S$ -modules of finite rank.

Since $i_{x_0}^!(\mathrm{PSol} \mathcal{M})$ is quasi isomorphic to the complex

$$0 \rightarrow B_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S}|_{\{x_0\} \times S} \xrightarrow{P} B_{\{x_0\} \times S|X \times S}|_{\{x_0\} \times S} \rightarrow 0$$

it follows that the flat dimension over \mathcal{O}_S of $i_{x_0}^!(\mathrm{PSol} \mathcal{M})$ in the sense of [5, §4] is ≤ 0 for any x_0 . Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^0 i_{x_0}^!(\mathrm{PSol} \mathcal{M}) = 0$ and, if $x_0 \neq 0$, $\mathcal{H}^1 i_{x_0}^!(\mathrm{PSol} \mathcal{M})$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank 1. Hence the flat dimension of $i_{x_0}^!(\mathrm{PSol} \mathcal{M})$ is ≤ 1 . This shows explicitly that $\mathrm{PSol} \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the condition (Cosupp+) of Corollary 2.29. \square

4 Application to Mixed Twistor \mathcal{D} -modules

Let $\mathcal{R}_{X \times \mathbb{C}}$ be the sheaf on $X \times \mathbb{C}$ of z -differential operators, locally generated by $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{C}}$ and the z -vector fields $z\partial_{x_i}$ in local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) on X . When restricted to $X \times \mathbb{C}^*$, the sheaf $\mathcal{R}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^*}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$.

A mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -module on X (see [13]) is a triple $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'', C)$, where \mathcal{M}' and \mathcal{M}'' are holonomic $\mathcal{R}_{X \times \mathbb{C}}$ -modules and C is a certain pairing with values in distributions, that we will not need to make precise here. Such a triple is subject to various conditions. We say that a $\mathcal{D}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^*/\mathbb{C}^*}$ -module \mathcal{M} underlies a mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -module \mathcal{T} if \mathcal{M} is the restriction to $X \times \mathbb{C}^*$ of \mathcal{M}' or \mathcal{M}'' .

Theorem 1.1 is now a direct consequence of the following properties of mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -modules, since they imply that \mathcal{M} satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. If \mathcal{M} underlies a mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -module, then

- there exists a locally finite filtration $W_\bullet \mathcal{M}$ indexed by \mathbb{Z} by $\mathcal{R}_{X \times \mathbb{C}}$ -submodules such that each graded module underlies a pure polarizable twistor \mathcal{D} -module;

then each $\mathrm{gr}_\ell^W \mathcal{M}$ is strict and holonomic (see [14, Proposition 4.1.3; 12, Section 17.1.1]), and thus so is \mathcal{M} ;

- the dual of \mathcal{M} as a $\mathcal{R}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^*}$ -module also underlies a mixed twistor \mathcal{D} -module, hence is also strict holonomic (see [13, Theorem 12.9]); using the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^*} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^* / \mathbb{C}^*}$, we see that the dual $\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M}$ as a $\mathcal{D}_{X \times \mathbb{C}^* / \mathbb{C}^*}$ -module is strict and holonomic.

Funding

This research was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, PEst OE/MAT/UI0209/2011 (to T.M.) and by the grant ANR-08-BLAN-0317-01 of the Agence nationale de la recherche (to C.S.).

References

- [1] Goresky, M. and MacPherson, R. D. *Stratified Morse Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Folge 3 Band 14. Berlin: Springer, 1988.
- [2] Kashiwara, M. "On the maximally overdetermined systems of differential equations." *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University* 10 (1975): 563–79.
- [3] Kashiwara, M. *Algebraic Study of Systems of Partial Differential Equations*, Mémoires, vol. 63. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1995, English translation of the Master thesis, Tokyo, 1970.
- [4] Kashiwara, M. *D-modules and Microlocal Calculus*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 217. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [5] Kashiwara, M. "t-Structure on the derived categories of \mathcal{D} -modules and \mathcal{O} -modules." *Moscow Mathematical Journal* 4, no. 4 (2004): 847–68.
- [6] Kashiwara, M. and P. Schapira. *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 292. Berlin: Springer, 1990.
- [7] Kashiwara, M. and P. Schapira. *Categories and Sheaves*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 332. Berlin: Springer, 2006.
- [8] Laurent, Y. and T. Monteiro Fernandes. Systèmes différentiels fuchsien le long d'une sous-variété, *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University* 24, no. 3 (1988): 397–431.
- [9] Mebkhout, Z. *Le formalisme des six opérations de Grothendieck pour les \mathcal{D} -modules cohérents*. Travaux en cours 35. Paris: Hermann, 1989.
- [10] Mebkhout, Z. *Le théorème de positivité, le théorème de comparaison et le théorème d'existence de Riemann*, 165–310. Éléments de la théorie des systèmes différentiels géométriques, Séminaires & Congrès, vol. 8. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2004.
- [11] Mochizuki, T. *Asymptotic Behaviour of Tame Harmonic Bundles and an Application to Pure Twistor D-modules*, no. 869–870. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* vol. 185.

- Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2007. Preprint, arXiv: math.DG/0312230 & math.DG/0402122.
- [12] Mochizuki, T. *Wild Harmonic Bundles and Wild Pure Twistor D-modules*, Astérisque 340. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2011.
- [13] Mochizuki, T. "Mixed twistor D-module." (2011): preprint arXiv: 1104.3366.
- [14] Sabbah, C. *Polarizable Twistor \mathcal{D} -Modules*, Astérisque 300. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2005.
- [15] Sabbah, C. "Wild twistor \mathcal{D} -modules." *Algebraic Analysis and Around: In Honor of Professor M. Kashiwara's 60th Birthday (Kyoto, June 2007)*, 293–353. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 54. Tokyo: Mathematical Society of Japan, 2009. Preprint, arXiv: 0803.0287.
- [16] Sato, M., T. Kawai, and M. Kashiwara. "Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations." *Hyperfunctions and Pseudo-Differential Equations (Katata, 1971)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 287. Berlin: Springer, 1973, pp. 265–529.
- [17] Schapira, P. *Microdifferential Systems in the Complex Domain*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 269. Springer, 1985.
- [18] Schapira, P. and J.-P. Schneiders. *Index Theorem for Elliptic Pairs*, Astérisque 224. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 1994.
- [19] Simpson, C. "Higgs Bundles and Local Systems." *Publications mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études scientifiques* 75 (1992): 5–95.
- [20] Simpson, C. "Mixed twistor structures." Prépublication Université de, 1997. Toulouse. Preprint, arXiv: math.AG/9705006.