

§ 2.3. Weierstrass theory

germ of a holomorphic function at
 $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$

Given $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic map

$U \ni 0$ open, and $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 holomorphic map $V \ni 0$ open.

We say that $f \sim_0 g$ if

$f = g$ in a common neighborhood of 0.

Definition: A germ of hol. function
 at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is an equivalence class
 of equivalence relation \sim_0 .

Observation: $f \sim_0 g \iff$ their power
 series expansions at 0 coincide.

$$\iff \frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{I}|} f}{\partial z^{\mathbf{I}}} (0) = \frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{I}|} g}{\partial z^{\mathbf{I}}} (0) \quad \forall \mathbf{I}.$$

Now we can put together all the
 germs in one space.

Notation:

$\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} = \{ \text{holomorphic germs at } 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^n \}$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{I}} a_{\mathbf{I}} z^{\mathbf{I}} : z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \right\}$$
$$a_{\mathbf{I}} \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\exists \rho > 0 \text{ s.t. } \sum_{\mathbf{I}} |a_{\mathbf{I}}| \rho^{|\mathbf{I}|} < \infty.$$

Other notations: $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) = \mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{O}_n^0$

Observation: If M is a \mathbb{C} -manifold, define analogously:

$$\mathcal{O}_{(M, p)} = \{ \text{hol. germs of } M \text{ at } p \}$$

Fact: $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$ is a ring $\begin{matrix} f+g \\ \mathcal{O} \end{matrix}$

• has a unit ($f \equiv 1$) $\begin{matrix} f \cdot g \\ \mathcal{O} \end{matrix}$

• it is an integral domain
($fg=0 \Rightarrow f=0 \text{ or } g=0$)

• it is a \mathbb{C} -algebra ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \lambda f \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$)
 $f \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$

There is a canonical evaluation morphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_0: \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f &\longmapsto f(0) \end{aligned}$$

This is a morphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Let

$\mathfrak{m}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} = \{f \mid f(0) = 0\}$ is a maximal ideal.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} / \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} &= \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} \text{ s.t. } f(0) \neq 0\} \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}^\times = \{\text{units in } \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}\} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$.

Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$ is a local ring.

$$\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} / \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

ev_0

Observation: ($n=1$)

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}, 0) = \left\{ \sum a_n z^n \mid \sum |a_n| p^n < +\infty \text{ for some } p > 0 \right\}$$

$$0 \neq f(z) = \sum a_n z^n = \underbrace{a}_{\neq 0} z^k \underbrace{(1 + \dots)}_{\text{unit}}, \quad k \geq 0$$

If \mathcal{a} is any ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$
 $\mathcal{a} = (z^k)$ for some $k \geq 0$
 $= \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}, 0}^k$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a PID (principal ideal domain)
+ local domain

In particular, it is Noetherian.

"Weierstrass theory allows one to make induction on the dimension and to view holomorphic function as a polynomial in one variable z_n with coefficients that are holomorphic in the other variables z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} ".

Notation: $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$
 $= (z', w)$

where $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ and $w = z_n$.

Definition: $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is distinguished in \mathbb{Z}_n with degree $p \geq 0$, if

$$f(0, \mathbb{Z}_n) = z^p \times (\text{unit}) \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{"big Oh"} \\ \text{notation} \end{array}$$
$$= \underset{\neq 0}{a} z^p + \mathcal{O}(z^{p+1})$$

- A Weierstrass polynomial of degree $p \geq 1$ is a holomorphic function of the form

$$f(z) = w^p + a_1(z')w^{p-1} + \dots + a_p(z')$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$ and $a_i(0) = 0$ (i.e. $a_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$).

Remark: In Hörmander's book, distinguished is called normalized. In Gunning's book, distinguished is called regular.

Theorem: (Weierstrass division theorem)

Given $f \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$ distinguished in \mathbb{Z}_n of degree p . Then:

$\exists \Delta \ni 0$ open neighborhood, $\exists C > 0$,
for all $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$, $\sup_{\Delta} |g| < +\infty$,
there exists a Weierstrass polynomial r of degree $\leq p-1$, and $q \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$
such that

$$\bullet \quad g = qf + r \quad (\star)$$

$$\max\left(\sup_{\Delta} |q|, \sup_{\Delta} |r|\right) \leq C \cdot \sup_{\Delta} |g|$$

Moreover, this decomposition is unique.

Corollary (Weierstrass Preparation Theorem)

$f \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$ is distinguished in \mathbb{Z}_n of degree p .

Then $\exists h \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$ and $W \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$ Weierstrass polynomial of degree p .

such that $f = h \cdot W$.

Furthermore, this decomposition is unique.

Proof of Corollary: Apply Weierstrass
division theorem to $g = z_n^p$

$$\Rightarrow z_n^p = q \cdot f + r \quad z = (z', w)$$

$$r = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j(z') w^j$$

Look at $(0, w)$ (points of this form)

$$\underbrace{w^p - r(0, w)}_{\substack{\text{monic poly.} \\ \text{of degree } p}} = q(0, w) \cdot \underbrace{f(0, w)}_{\substack{(aw^p + \mathcal{O}(w^{p+1})) \\ \neq 0}}$$

$$\Rightarrow q(0) \neq 0 \text{ and } r(0, w) \equiv 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow q \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}^\times \text{ and so}$$

$$f = \underbrace{q^{-1}}_{\text{unit}} \underbrace{(z_n^p - r)}_{\substack{\text{Weierstrass poly.} \\ \text{of degree } p}}$$

Uniqueness is left as an exercise
(it is a consequence of the
uniqueness of the Weierstrass division theorem)

Theorem (Weierstrass Division Theorem)

$f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ distinguished in z_n of degree p . $\exists \Delta \ni 0$ open neighborhood

$\exists C > 0$, for all $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$, $\sup_{\Delta} |g| < +\infty$

$\exists r \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0) [z_n]$ of degree $\leq p-1$

$\exists q \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ such that

$$g = qf + r$$

$$\max(\sup_{\Delta} |q|, \sup_{\Delta} |r|) \leq C \cdot \sup_{\Delta} |g|$$

Obs: $g(z', w) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(z') w^j$

where $a_j \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)$. We split the sum:

$$g(z', w) = \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} a_j(z') w^j}_{R_g} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=p}^{\infty} a_j(z') w^{j-p} \right) w^p}_{Q_g}$$

If $\Delta \ni 0$ is a polydisk,
 $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$, $\sup_{\Delta} |g| < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow R_g, Q_g \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$$

$$\Delta = \{ |z'| < \rho, |w| < R \}, \quad \rho, R > 0.$$

Cauchy estimate applied to

$w \mapsto g(z', w)$ for a fixed $|z'| < \rho$.

$$|a_j(z')| \leq \sup_{\Delta} |g| \cdot \frac{1}{R^j}$$

Lemma: $\sup_{\Delta} |Rg| \leq \rho \cdot \sup_{\Delta} |g|$

$$\sup_{\Delta} |Qg| \leq \frac{(\rho+1)}{R^p} \sup_{\Delta} |g|$$

Proof:

$$|a_j(z') \cdot w^j| \leq \sup_{\Delta} |g| \cdot \frac{1}{R^j} \cdot R^j = \sup_{\Delta} |g|$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\Delta} |Rg| \leq \rho \sup_{\Delta} |g|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |w|^p \cdot |Qg(z', w)| &\leq |g| + |Rg(z', w)| \\ &\leq (\rho+1) \sup_{\Delta} |g| \end{aligned}$$

$$|Qg| \leq \frac{\rho+1}{R^p} \sup_{\Delta} |g| \quad \text{true on } |w|=R$$

hence everywhere by the maximum principle.

$$f = \underset{\substack{\parallel \\ \mathbb{R}_f}}{f_1} + w^p \underset{\substack{\parallel \\ \mathbb{Q}_f}}{f_2}$$

f distinguished $\Rightarrow f_2(0) = 1.$

$$\Rightarrow g = qf + r \Leftrightarrow g = q(f_1 + w^p f_2) + r$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (qf_2)(f_1 f_2^{-1} + w^p) + r$$

Define $h(z', w) = f_1 f_2^{-1}(z', w) = \text{unit} \cdot (\text{poly in } w \text{ with degree } \leq p-1 \text{ and coeff in } \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)})$

Note $f_1(0, w) \equiv 0$

so $h(0, w) \equiv 0.$

Aim: solve $g = (w^p + h) \cdot \tilde{q} + \tilde{r}$

Idea: successive approximation.

$$g = w^p \cdot q_1 + r_1 \text{ with } q_1 = \mathbb{Q}g, r_1 = \mathbb{R}g$$

$$g - h(z', w)q_1 = w^p q_2 + r_2$$

with $q_2 = \mathbb{Q}g - hq_1$ and $r_2 = \mathbb{R}g - hq_1$
 (continue inductively)

$$g - hq_n = w^p \cdot q_{n+1} + r_{n+1}$$

where $q_{n+1} \in Q_{g-hq_n}$ and $r_{n+1} \in R_{g-hq_n}$

After subtracting two levels:

$$-h(q_n - q_{n-1}) = \underbrace{w^p}_{\Phi''} (q_{n+1} - q_n) + (r_{n+1} - r_n)$$

by linearity of the operator Φ .

$$\sup_{\Delta} |q_{n+1} - q_n| \leq \frac{\sup_{\Delta} |h|}{R^p} (p+1) \sup_{\Delta} |q_n - q_{n-1}|$$

Since $h(0, w) \equiv 0$, by choosing $\delta \ll 1$, we can ensure that

$$\sup_{\substack{|z'| < \delta \\ |w| < R}} |h| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{R^p}{p+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\Delta} |q_{n+1} - q_n| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\Delta} |q_n - q_{n-1}|$$

$$q_n \xrightarrow{\sup} \tilde{q}$$

$$\sup |\tilde{q}| \leq C \sup |q_1| \leq C' \sup |g|$$

$$r_n \xrightarrow{\sup_{\Delta}} \tilde{r}$$

$$g - h\tilde{q} = \omega^p \tilde{q} + \tilde{r} \Rightarrow \sup_{\Delta} |\tilde{r}| \leq C'' \sup_{\Delta} |g|$$

so in the limit, we set

$$g - h\tilde{q} = \omega^p \tilde{q} + \tilde{r}$$

$$g = (\omega^p + h)\tilde{q} + \tilde{r}. \quad \square$$

Uniqueness: $(\omega^p + h)q + r = 0$

$r \in \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, 0)}[\omega]$ with $\deg \leq p-1$.

$$-hq = \omega^p q + r$$

where $q = \mathcal{O}(-hq)$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\Delta} |q| \leq \underbrace{\frac{(p+1)}{R^p} \sup_{\Delta} |h| \cdot \sup_{\Delta} |q|}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\Delta} |q| = 0 \Rightarrow q = 0.$$

and so we also get $r = 0$.

Lecture 10

Thursday, February 6

$\mathcal{O}_n = \{ \text{hol. germs at } 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^n \}$ for $n \geq 1$

$$= \left\{ \sum_I a_I z^I \mid \sum_I |a_I| \rho^I < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

Recall that for R an integral domain:

R is Noetherian if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- $I_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$ ideals $\Rightarrow \exists I_0$ s.t. $I_n = I_0 \forall n \geq 0$.
- any ideal is finitely generated.

Theorem: (Hilbert Basis Theorem)

R Noetherian $\Rightarrow R[T]$ is Noetherian.

Observation: $R[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N]/\mathcal{I}$ is Noetherian for any ideal \mathcal{I} .

• divisibility in R : $f|g$ if $g = fh$ for some $h \in R$.

• g is irreducible if $f|g \Rightarrow f \in R^\times$ or $\frac{g}{f} \in R^\times$
(Here $R^\times = \text{units in } R$).

R is factorial if any $f \in R$ admits a unique decomposition as a product of irreducible elements.

In other words, given any two different factorizations,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_1 f_2 \cdots f_r \quad (f_i = \text{irreducible}) \\ &= \tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_2 \cdots \tilde{f}_s \quad (\tilde{f}_i = \text{irreducible}) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow r = s$ and \exists permutation $\pi: \{1, 2, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that

$$f_i / \sim_{f_{\pi(i)}} \in R^\times \text{ for all } i.$$

Examples of factorial rings: Euclidean domains, PID, etc.

Thm 4: \mathcal{O}_n is both Noetherian + factorial.

Lemma: R Noetherian, $n \geq 0$

Any submodule of R^n is finitely generated.

Proof: $n=1$, $I \subseteq R$, $I = \text{ideal}$

$\Rightarrow I$ is finitely generated (as R is Noetherian).

Induction on n : Given $M \subseteq R^n$ a submodule,

consider projection $\pi: R^{n+1} \rightarrow R$
 $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) \mapsto a_1$

$\pi(M)$ is an ideal of R , so we get that $\pi(M)$ is finitely-generated.

$\pi(M) = \langle \pi(m_1), \dots, \pi(m_r) \rangle$ for
some elements $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r \in M$.

Now, given $m \in M$, note that

$$m = \sum r_i m_i \in \underbrace{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n}_{= \ker(\pi)}$$

So by induction, $M \cap \ker(\pi) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is
finitely-generated, and we can using
induction
combine the generators of $M \cap \ker(\pi)$
together with m_1, \dots, m_r to get a
generating set for M . □

Proof that \mathcal{O}_n is Noetherian

$$z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$z = (z', w) \text{ where } z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \\ \text{and } w = z_n$$

$f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is distinguished in z_n of order $p \geq 0$

$$f(0, w) = aw^p + \mathcal{O}(w^{p+1}) \text{ with } a \neq 0.$$

Lemma: $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{O}_n$. There exists an open and dense set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that: for every $A \in \mathcal{U}$, we have:

$f(A \cdot z)$ is distinguished in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Proof: Expand f into power series:

$$f(z) = \sum a_I z^I = f_k + f_{k+1} + \dots$$

$$f_j = \sum_{|I|=j} a_I z^I \longleftarrow \text{homogeneous poly. of degree } j.$$

Suppose $f_k \neq 0$.

$V = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid f_k(v) = 0\}$ closed nowhere else.

$\mathcal{U} = \{A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) : A \cdot e_n \notin V\}$

\mathcal{U} is open and dense.

$A \in \mathcal{U}$, $z \rightarrow f(A \cdot z) =: f_A(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} t \mapsto f(A \cdot t e_n) &= f_A(0, t) \\ &= f_k(t A \cdot e_n) + f_{k+1}(t A \cdot e_n) + \dots \\ &= t^k \underbrace{f_k(A \cdot e_n)}_{\neq 0} + \mathcal{O}(t^{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof that \mathcal{O}_n is Noetherian

We argue by induction on n .

$n=1$: \mathcal{O}_1 is PID (so in particular Noetherian).

Inductive step:

$n-1 \rightarrow n$

Pick an ideal $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$.

Take $f \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{I}$. May assume that f is distinguished in \mathbb{Z}_n of degree $p \geq 0$.

Weierstrass division theorem:

$$\forall g \in \mathfrak{I}, \quad g = qf + r, \quad r \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1} [w]$$
$$\deg_w(r) \leq p-1$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{n-1} = \left\{ \sum a_I (z')^I \right\}$$

$$r = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} r_j(z') \cdot w^j$$

$M = \{ r(g) : g \in \mathfrak{I} \}$ is a submodule

so it is finitely-generated of $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}^{\oplus p}$

by induction + lemma.

Finally, $\mathcal{O} = \langle f \rangle + M$ is also finitely-generated. □

Proof of factoriality of \mathcal{O}_n

We want to prove:

(1) Suppose $(f_i) \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is a sequence such that $f_{i+1} \mid f_i$. Then $f_i / f_{i+1} \in \mathcal{O}_n^\times$ for all $i \gg 0$.

(2) If f is irreducible and $f \mid (g \cdot h)$ then $f \mid g$ or $f \mid h$.

We proceed by induction on n .

$n=1$: clear $\mathcal{O} = (\mathbb{Z})^k \Rightarrow f = \text{unit} \times \mathbb{Z}^k$

Inductive step: Gauß Lemma.

\mathcal{O}_{n-1} is factorial $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[W]$ is factorial.

Observation: $\mathcal{O}_n^\times = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_n \mid f(0) \neq 0\}$.

$$\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[W]^\times = \mathcal{O}_{n-1}^\times \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_n^\times \cap \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[W].$$

(for $n \geq 2$)

We prove (2): f irreducible in \mathcal{O}_n and $f \mid gh$. We may assume that f is distinguished in \mathbb{Z}_n of order $p \geq 1$ and that $f \notin \mathcal{O}_n^\times$.

Weierstrass preparation $\Rightarrow f = \text{unit in } \mathcal{O}_n$. Weierstrass polynomial.

We may assume that

$f(z', w)$ is a Weierstrass polynomial

$$\Rightarrow f(z', w) = w^p + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha_i(z') w^i, \quad \alpha_i(0) = 0.$$

$$\text{Weierstrass division } \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} g &= qf + g_0 \\ h &= \bar{q}f + h_0 \end{aligned}$$

where $g_0, h_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$.

$$\Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} f \mid g_0 h_0 \\ \text{in } \mathcal{O}_n \end{array} \right) \text{ (because } f \mid h \text{)}.$$

We need two lemmas:

Lemma A: f Weierstrass poly, $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$.

$$f \mid \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_n \iff f \mid \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$$

Lemma B: f Weierstrass poly.

f is irreducible in \mathcal{O}_n iff it is irreducible in $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$.

Let's use lemmas A and B to finish the proof of factoriality.

$$f|g \text{ or } h \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_n \xrightarrow{\textcircled{A}} f|g \text{ or } h \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\textcircled{B}} f \text{ irreducible in } \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{induction}} f|g \text{ or } f|h \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma } \textcircled{A} \text{ (trivial direction)}} f|g \text{ or } f|h \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_n. \quad \square$$

Now we can prove Lemmas A + B.

Note, before we start, that

$$\mathcal{O}_n^\times = \{ f \mid f(0) \neq 0 \}$$

$$(\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w])^\times = \mathcal{O}_{n-1}^\times$$

Proof of A: (\Leftarrow) clear. ($\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w] \subset \mathcal{O}_n$).

(\Rightarrow) $f|g$ in \mathcal{O}_n . Both f, g are Weierstrass polynomials in variable w , and f is monic.

We do Euclidean division inside $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$.

$$\varphi = qf + r \quad \text{where } q \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$$

$$\deg(r) \leq p-1$$

But we also have $\varphi = h \cdot f$ ($h \in \mathcal{O}_n$)
By uniqueness of Weierstrass division theorem, we get $r = 0$.

Proof of Lemma B

(\Leftarrow) exercise

(\Rightarrow) f is reducible in $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$

φ_1, φ_2 non-invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w]$

$$f = \varphi_1 \varphi_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{f(0, w)}_{w^p} = \varphi_1(0, w) \cdot \varphi_2(0, w)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_1(0, w) = \overset{\neq 0}{a} w^k, \quad \varphi_2(0, w) = \overset{\neq 0}{b} w^{p-k}$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi_1$ & φ_2 are both Weierstrass polynomials of degree k & $p-k$.

If $k=0$, $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{n-1}^\times = (\mathcal{O}_{n-1}[w])^\times$ which contradicts the hypothesis that φ_1 was non-invertible.

thus, $k \geq 1$, and similarly
 $p-k \geq 1 \Rightarrow f$ is reducible in \mathcal{O}_n

as $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}_n}$ \square .
(maximal ideal)

Now we prove ①:

$f_{i+1} | f_i$ for all $i \Rightarrow f_i$ is a Weierstrass polynomial.

$\deg_w(f_i)$ is decreasing by Lemma A.

for $i \gg 0$, $\deg_w(f_i) = \delta$ (stabilizes)
 $= \deg_w(f_{i+1})$

$$f_i = \underbrace{h}_{\mathcal{O}_n^\times} \circ f_{i+1}, \quad \underbrace{f_i}_{\mathcal{O}_n^\times}(\underbrace{0}_w, w) = \underbrace{h}_{\mathcal{O}_n^\times}(\underbrace{0}_w, w) \underbrace{f_{i+1}}_{\mathcal{O}_n^\times}(\underbrace{0}_w, w)$$

\square

§2.4. Applications to the geometry of analytic sets

- A germ of analytic set in a \mathbb{C} -manifold M at p is an analytic subset Z of an open set u of p modulo the relation $Z \sim_p Z'$ if:

$Z \cap V = Z' \cap V$ for some
open set $V \subset U \cap U'$ which
contains p_0

$Z \subseteq M$ analytic subset

Any point p determines a germ
 (Z, p) at p .

Observation: $p \notin Z \Rightarrow (Z, p) = (\emptyset, p)$

(Z, p) = germ of analytic subset
at $p \in M$.

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{I}(Z, p) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{M, p} \mid f|_Z \equiv 0\}$

is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{M, p} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cong \\ \text{isom.} \\ \mathbb{C}\text{-algebras} \end{array} \mathcal{O}_n \right)$

• If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{M, p}$ is ideal,

since \mathcal{O}_n is Noetherian, we get

$\mathcal{I} = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \rangle$. Consider the

set $V(\mathcal{I}) := \bigcap_{i=1}^r (f_i = 0)$ is analytic.

$(V(\mathcal{I}), p)$ is a germ of analytic set at p
which does not depend on choice of generators.

observations:

$$J \subseteq \hat{I}(V(J), P)$$

$$V(\hat{I}(Z, X), X) = (Z, X)$$

This is exactly going to be the analogue of the usual Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.
